CONTENT SEGMENTS
A. Welcoming Remarks and Objectives
B. Administrative Details
C. Pre-Test

LEARNING ACTIVITIES
Instructor-Led Presentation
Written Examination
A. Welcoming Remarks

Welcome to the DWI Detection and Standardized Field Sobriety Testing (SFST) Course. The SFST training focuses on a set of examination procedures that provide officers knowledge and tools for DWI detection. The SFST course provides detailed explanations of the evaluation procedures, careful demonstrations of these procedures (both "live" and via video), and ample opportunities for the participants to practice administering the evaluations.
B. Administrative Details

Housekeeping

- Paperwork
- Mandatory attendance
- Breaks
- Facility
- Interruptions
  - All electronic devices off
Participant Introductions

- Name
- Agency
- Duty assignment
- Experience
Upon successfully completing this session, the participant will be able to:

- State the goals and objectives of the course
- Describe the course schedule and activities
- Recognize the Participant Manual contents
- Demonstrate their pre-training knowledge of course topics
The goal of this course is to ultimately increase deterrence of DWI violations; thereby reducing the number of crashes, deaths, and injuries caused by impaired drivers.
Enforcement goals are to identify:
• Enforcement’s role in general DWI deterrence
• DWI detection phases, clues, and techniques
• Requirements for organizing and presenting testimonial and documentary evidence in DWI cases
65 deaths and injuries each hour!
State and Local Data

- Approximately _____ people now live in _____
- About _____ of these people will die in vehicle crashes
- About _____ will die in DWI crashes

- Approximately __________ people now live in __________
- About __________ of these people will die in vehicle crashes
- About __________ will die in DWI crashes
At the conclusion of this training, participants will demonstrate the ability to:

- Recognize and interpret evidence of DWI violations
- Administer and interpret SFSTs
- Describe DWI evidence clearly and convincingly in written reports and verbal testimony
- Ensure video and/or audio evidence, if available, is consistent with other evidence
Job Performance Enabling Objectives
Understand the tasks and decisions of DWI detection

• Recognize the magnitude and scope of DWI-related crashes, deaths, injuries, property loss, and other social aspects of the DWI problem

• Understand the deterrent effects of DWI enforcement

• Understand the DWI enforcement legal environment

• Know and recognize typical vehicle maneuvers and human indicators symptomatic of DWI that are associated with initial observation of vehicles in operation

• Know and recognize typical reinforcing maneuvers and indicators that come to light during the stopping sequence

• Know and recognize typical sensory and other clues of alcohol and/or other drug impairment that may be seen during face to face contact with DWI subjects

• Know and recognize typical behavioral clues of alcohol and/or other drug impairment that may be seen during the subject's exit from the vehicle
• Understand the role and relevance of psychophysical testing in pre-arrest screening of DWI subjects

• Understand the role and relevance of preliminary breath testing in pre-arrest screening of DWI subjects

• Know and carry out appropriate administrative procedures for the Horizontal Gaze Nystagmus (HGN) test

• Know and carry out appropriate administrative procedures for validated divided attention psychophysical tests

• Know and recognize typical clues of alcohol and/or other drug impairment that may be seen during administration of the SFSTs

• Understand the factors that may affect the accuracy of preliminary breath testing (PBT) devices

• Understand the elements of DWI prosecution and their relevance to DWI arrest reporting

• Choose appropriate descriptive terms to convey relevant observations of DWI evidence

• Write clear, descriptive narrative DWI arrest reports
The Participant Manual is the basic reference document for this course. The manual contains thumbnails of each instructor presentation that includes key messages for each frame. The manual also contains a glossary of terms used in this course.

- Read each session prior to class
- Use the manual to review the material prior to taking the final exam
The course schedule is located in the Participant Manual.
The Glossary of Terms used in the course is also located in the Participant Manual.
C. Pre-Test
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GLOSSARY OF TERMS

**ADDITION**
Habitual, psychological, and physiological dependence on a substance beyond one’s voluntary control.

**ALVEOLAR BREATH**
Breath from the deepest part of the lung.

**BLOOD ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BAC)**
The percentage of alcohol in a person's blood.

**BREATH ALCOHOL CONCENTRATION (BrAC)**
The percentage of alcohol in a person’s breath, as measured by a breath testing device.

**CLUE**
Something that leads to the solution of a problem.

**CUE**
A reminder or prompting as a signal to do something. A suggestion or a hint.

**DIVIDED ATTENTION**
Concentrating on more than one thing at a time.

**DIVIDED ATTENTION TEST**
A test which requires the subject to concentrate on both mental and physical tasks at the same time. The two psychophysical tests Walk and Turn (WAT) and One Leg Stand (OLS) require the suspect to their divide attention.

**DRUG RECOGNITION EXPERT (DRE)**
An individual who successfully completed all phases of the DRE training requirements for certification established by the IACP and NHTSA. The word “evaluator,” “technician,” or similar words may be used as a substitute for “expert,” depending upon locale or jurisdiction.

**DWI/DUI**
The acronym "DWI" means driving while impaired and is synonymous with the acronym "DUI", driving under the influence or other acronyms used to denote impaired driving. These terms refer to any and all offenses involving the operation of vehicles by persons under the influence of alcohol and/or other drugs.

**DWI DETECTION PROCESS**
The entire process of identifying and gathering evidence to determine whether or not a suspect should be arrested for a DWI violation. The DWI detection process has three phases:
- Phase One – Vehicle in Motion
- Phase Two – Personal Contact
- Phase Three – Pre-arrest Screening
EVIDENCE
Any means by which some alleged fact that has been submitted to investigation may either be established or disproved. Evidence of a DWI violation may be of various types:
   a. Physical (or real) evidence: something tangible, visible, or audible
   b. Well established facts (judicial notice)
   c. Demonstrative evidence: demonstrations performed in the courtroom
   d. Written matter or documentation
   e. Testimony

EXPERT WITNESS
A person skilled in some art, trade, science or profession, having knowledge of matters not within the knowledge of persons of average education, learning and experience, who may assist a jury in arriving at a verdict by expressing an opinion on a state of facts shown by the evidence and based upon his or her special knowledge. (NOTE: Only the court can determine whether a witness is qualified to testify as an expert.)

FIELD SOBRIETY TEST
Any one of several roadside tests that can be used to determine whether a subject is impaired.

GAIT ATAXIA
An unsteady, staggering gait (walk) in which walking is uncoordinated and appears to be “not ordered.”

GENERAL INDICATOR
Behavior or observations of the subject that are observed and not specifically tested for. (Observational and Behavioral Indicators)

HORIZONTAL GAZE NYSTAGMUS (HGN)
Involuntary jerking of the eyes occurring as the eyes gaze to the side. The first test administered in the SFSTs.

IMPAIRMENT
One of the several items used to describe the degradation of mental and/or physical abilities necessary for safely operating a vehicle.

IMPLIED CONSENT LAW
Suspected DWI drivers are deemed to have given their consent to submit to chemical testing. If the driver fails to provide a chemical test, they can be subject to license sanctions.

NATIONAL HIGHWAY TRAFFIC SAFETY ADMINISTRATION
An Administration within the United States Department of Transportation that exercises primary responsibility for coordinating federal efforts to ensure the safe design and operation of motor vehicles.

NYSTAGMUS
An involuntary jerking of the eyes.
ONE LEG STAND (OLS)
A divided attention field sobriety test. One of the tests administered in the SFSTs.

PER SE
Used to describe a law which makes it illegal to drive while having a certain percentage of alcohol in the blood or breath.

PERSONAL CONTACT
The second phase in the DWI detection process. In this phase the officer observes and interviews the driver face to face; determines whether to ask the driver to step from the vehicle; and observes the driver’s exit and walk from the vehicle.

PRE-ARREST SCREENING
The third phase in the DWI detection process. In this phase the officer administers field sobriety tests to determine whether there is probable cause to arrest the driver for DWI. Depending on agency policy, the officer may administer or could arrange to have a preliminary breath test conducted.

PRELIMINARY BREATH TEST (PBT)
A pre-arrest breath test administered during investigation of a possible DWI violator to obtain an indication of the person's blood alcohol concentration.

PROBABLE CAUSE
It is more than mere suspicion; facts and circumstances within the officer’s knowledge, and of which he or she has reasonably trustworthy information, are sufficient to warrant a person of reasonable caution to believe that an offense has been or is being committed.

PSYCHOPHYSICAL
"Mind/Body." Used to describe field sobriety tests that measure a person's ability to perform both mental and physical tasks.

PSYCHOPHYSICAL TESTS
Methods of investigating the mental (psycho-) and physical characteristics of a person suspected of alcohol or drug impairment. Most psychophysical tests employ the concept of divided attention to assess a suspect's impairment.

REASONABLE SUSPICION
Less than probable cause but more than mere suspicion; exists when an officer, in light of his or her training and experience, reasonably believes and can articulate that criminal activity is taking, has taken or is about to take place.

RESTING NYSTAGMUS
Jerking of the eyes as they look straight ahead.
STANDARDIZED FIELD SOBRIETY TESTs
There are three SFSTs, namely Horizontal Gaze Nystagmus (HGN), Walk and Turn (WAT), and One Leg Stand (OLS). Based on a series of controlled laboratory studies, scientifically validated clues of alcohol impairment have been identified for each of these three tests. They are the only Standardized Field Sobriety Tests for which validated clues have been identified.

TRAFFIC SAFETY RESOURCE PROSECUTOR (TSRP)
Usually a current or former prosecutor who provides training, education and technical support to traffic crimes prosecutors and law enforcement agencies throughout their State. (For the contact information of your TSRP, contact your Highway Safety Office).

VALID
Conforming to accepted principles. Producing accurate and reliable results.

VALIDATED
A documented act of demonstrating that a procedure, process, and/or activity will consistently lead to accurate and reliable results.

VEHICLE IN MOTION
The first phase in the DWI detection process. In this phase the officer observes the vehicle in operation, determines whether to stop the vehicle, and observes the stopping sequence.

VERTICAL GAZE NYSTAGMUS
An involuntary jerking of the eyes (up and down) which occurs when the eyes gaze upward at maximum elevation. The jerking should be distinct and sustained.

WALK AND TURN (WAT)
A divided attention field sobriety test. One of the tests administered in SFSTs.
Session 2
Detection and General Deterrence
Learning Objectives
Upon successfully completing this session, the participant will be able to:
• Describe the frequency of DWI violations and crashes
• Define general deterrence
• Describe the relationship between detection and general deterrence
• Describe a brief history of alcohol
• Identify common types of alcohol
• Describe the physiological processes of absorption, distribution, and elimination of alcohol in the body

CONTENT SEGMENTS
A. The DWI Problem
B. Concept of General Deterrence
C. Relating Detection to Deterrence Potential
D. Evidence of Effective Detection and Effective Deterrence
E. Physiology of Alcohol

LEARNING ACTIVITIES
Instructor-Led Presentation
Video Presentation
Reading Assignments
A. The DWI Problem (Local, State and National)

How Widespread Is DWI?
While not all of those who drive after drinking have a Blood Alcohol Concentration (BAC) of 0.08 or higher (the presumptive or illegal per se limit for DWI in all States) some drivers do have BACs in excess of these limits.

Prior to 1994, nearly half of the drivers who died in crashes had been drinking.

Each year, tens of thousands of people die in traffic crashes. Throughout the Nation, alcohol is the major contributor to traffic fatalities. In 2015, there were 10,265 alcohol-related fatalities representing 29% of all traffic fatalities.
Impaired drivers are more likely than other drivers to take excessive risks such as speeding or turning abruptly. Impaired drivers also are more likely than other drivers to have slowed reaction times. They may not be able to react quickly enough to slow down before crashing and are less likely to wear seatbelts. On the average, two percent of drivers on the road at any given time are DWI. DWI violations and crashes are not simply the work of a relatively few "problem drinkers" or "problem drug users." Many people commit DWI, at least occasionally.
Estimates indicate Nationwide about 28 million people the age of 12 and over, self reported they drove under the influence in the past 12 months.

It is also estimated each day in the United States people drive while intoxicated almost 300,000 times but fewer than 3,000 are arrested.
A frequently quoted, and often misinterpreted, statistic places the average incidence of DWI at 1 driver in 50. Averaged across all hours of the day and all days of the week, two percent of the drivers on the road are DWI. The 1 in 50 figure is offered as evidence that a relatively small segment of America's drivers, the so called "problem" group, account for the majority of traffic deaths. There's nothing wrong with that figure as a statistical average, but police officers know at certain times and places many more than two percent of drivers are impaired. The National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) research suggests during the late night, weekend hours as many as 10% of drivers on the roads may be DWI. On certain holiday weekends, and other critical times, the figure may go even higher.

How Many? How Often?
The issue of how many DWIs are on the road at any given time is an important factor in measuring the magnitude of the problem. However, from an overall traffic safety perspective, the more important issue may be the number of drivers who ever commit DWI. Just how widespread is this violation?

Weekend Nights – 10% or More
Although it may be true, on the average, two percent of drivers are DWI at any given time, it
certainly is not the same two percent every time. Not everyone who commits DWI is out on the
road impaired every Friday and Saturday night. Some of them, at least, must skip an occasional
weekend. Thus, the 10% who show up, weekend after weekend, in the Friday and Saturday statistics
must come from a larger pool of violators, each of whom "contributes" to the statistics on some
nights, but not necessarily on all nights.

There are some who drive impaired virtually everyday; others commit the violation less often. It is
likely at least one quarter of all American motorists drive while impaired at least once in their lives.
That figure falls approximately midway between the 55% of drivers who at least occasionally drive
after drinking and the 10% of weekend, nighttime drivers who have BACs above the legal limit.
These estimates include everyone who drives impaired everyday, as well as everyone who commits the violation just once and never offends again; and it includes everyone in between. In short, it includes everyone who ever runs the risk of being involved in a crash while impaired.

**Society's Problem and the Solution**

The fact is far more than two percent of American drivers actively contribute to the DWI problem. DWI is a crime committed by a substantial segment of Americans.

It has been and remains a popular crime; one many people from all walks and areas of life commit. DWI is a crime that can be fought successfully only through a societal approach of comprehensive community-based programs.
• 26% of all fatal crashes on weekends alcohol-impaired

• Alcohol-impaired drivers involved in fatal crashes were 3 times higher at night

• 1.02 million drivers were arrested for DWI in 2016

• These alcohol-related fatalities represent an average of one alcohol-related fatality every 50 minutes

• Based on the most current cost data available, these alcohol-related fatalities cost society approximately $44 billion in lost productivity, medical expenses, property damages, and other related expenditures (https://www.nhtsa.gov/risky-driving/drunk-driving, July 12, 2017)
In 2015, 10,265 lives were lost in alcohol-impaired crashes representing 29% of the total motor vehicle fatalities in the U.S.
• In 2016, 10,497 lives were lost in alcohol-impaired crashes representing 28% of the total motor vehicle fatalities in the U.S.
• Drivers with a BAC of .08 or higher accounted for 62% of the fatalities
• 15% were passengers riding with a driver with a BAC of .08 or higher
• 14% of these fatalities were occupants of other vehicles
• 9% were persons not in vehicles
• In 2016, 10,111 lives were lost in speed-related crashes

• 40% of all drivers with a BAC of .08 or higher involved in fatal crashes were speeding

• Between midnight and 3:00 a.m., 66% of speeding drivers involved in fatal crashes on weekdays had a BAC of .08 or higher

• On weekends, it was even higher – 69% of speeding driving involved in fatal crashes had a BAC of .08 or higher
• The rate of alcohol impairment for drivers involved in fatal crashes was three times higher at night than during the day

• Drivers with a BAC of .15 or higher who were involved in fatal crashes were five times more likely to have a prior conviction for driving while impaired as compared to drivers involved in fatal crashes with no alcohol involvement
In 2016, 7,052 alcohol-impaired drivers (67%) involved in fatal crashes had a BAC of .15 or higher.

Males account for 80% of all alcohol-impaired traffic fatalities.

This means the fatal alcohol-impaired crash involvement rate was four times higher for male drivers than for females.
B. Concept of General Deterrence

The fear of arrest is the leading deterrent.

One approach to reducing the number of drinking drivers is general deterrence of DWI. General deterrence of DWI is based in the driving public's fear of being arrested. If enough violators come to believe there is a good chance they will get caught, at least some of them will stop committing DWI at least some of the time. However, unless there is a real risk of arrest, there will not be much fear of arrest.

Law enforcement officers must arrest enough violators enough of the time to convince the general public they will get caught, sooner or later, if they continue to drive while impaired.

*How many DWI violators must be arrested in order to convince the public there is a real risk of arrest for DWI?*

Several programs have demonstrated significant deterrence can be achieved by arresting 1 DWI violator for every 100 DWI violations committed. Currently, however, for every DWI violator arrested, there are between 500 and 2,000 DWI violations committed.
When the chances of being arrested are 1 in 100, the average DWI violator really has little to fear.

There are three noteworthy reasons:

- DWI violators vastly outnumber police officers
  - It is not possible to arrest every drinking driver each time they commit DWI
- Some officers are not highly skilled at DWI detection
  - They fail to recognize and arrest many DWI violators
- Some officers are not motivated to detect and arrest DWI violators
Significant Findings: In a 1975 study conducted in Fort Lauderdale, Florida, only 22% of traffic violators who were stopped with BACs between 0.10 and 0.20 were arrested for DWI. The remainder were cited for other violations, even though they were legally impaired. In this study, breath tests were administered to the violators by researchers after the police officers had completed their investigations. The officers failed to detect 78% of the DWI violators they investigated.
Implication: For every DWI violator actually arrested, three others are contacted by police officers, face to face, but are released without arrest.

Significant improvement in arrest rate could be achieved if officers were more skilled at DWI detection.
The Solutions
The Ultimate Goal: Changing Behavior

What must the comprehensive community-based DWI programs seek to accomplish? Ultimately, nothing less than fundamental behavioral change on a widespread basis. The goal is to encourage more Americans to:

- Avoid committing DWI either by avoiding or controlling drinking prior to driving or by selecting alternative transportation

- Intervene actively to prevent others from committing DWI (for example, putting into practice the theme "friends don't let friends drive drunk")

- Avoid riding with drivers who are impaired

The final test of the value of DWI countermeasures on the National, State, and local levels is whether they succeed in getting significantly more people to modify their behavior. The programs also pursue other more immediate objectives that support or reinforce the ultimate goal. However, the ultimate goal is to change driving while impaired to an unacceptable form of behavior at all levels.
Pursuing the Goal: Two Approaches

How can we bring about these changes in behavior? How can we discourage impaired driving, prevent others from drinking and driving, and avoid becoming passive "statistics" by refusing to ride with drinking drivers?

Basically, there are two general approaches that must be taken to achieve this goal.

One: prevention -- gives promise of the ultimate, lasting solution to the DWI problem; but it will require a substantial amount of time to mature fully.

Two: deterrence -- only offers a partial or limited solution, but it is available right now.
Prevention: the Ultimate Solution

DWI countermeasures that strive for the ultimate achievement of drinking and driving behavioral changes have been grouped under the label “prevention." There are many kinds of DWI preventive activities. Some are carried out by and in our schools, some through the mass media, some through concerned civic groups, and so forth. The various preventive efforts focus on different specific behaviors and address different target groups.

However, they seek to change drinking and driving behavior by promoting more positive attitudes and by fostering a set of values that reflects individual responsibilities toward drinking and driving.

Preventive countermeasures seek society's acceptance of the fact that DWI is wrong. Some people believe drinking and driving is strictly an individual's personal business; it is up to each person to decide whether or not to accept the risk of driving after drinking. Preventive activities try to dispel that outmoded and irresponsible belief. Instead, they promote the idea no one has the right to endanger others by drinking and driving, or to risk becoming a burden (economically and otherwise) to others as a result of injuries suffered while drinking and driving. Realistically, everyone has an obligation not only to control their own drinking and driving, but also to speak up when others are about to commit the violation. Only when all of society views DWI as a negative behavior that cannot be tolerated or condoned, will the public's behavior begin to change. That is the long-term solution.
General deterrence of DWI is based on the driving public's fear of being arrested. If enough violators come to believe there is a good chance they will get caught, some of them (at least) will stop committing DWI at least some of the time.

Unless there is a real risk of being arrested, there will not be much fear of arrest. Law enforcement must arrest enough violators to convince the public they will get caught if they continue to drive while impaired.
C. Relating Detection to Deterrence Potential

**Deterrence: the Interim Solution**

DWI countermeasures that seek a shortcut to the ultimate goal of behavioral change usually are labeled “deterrence.” Deterrence can be described as negative reinforcement. Some deterrence countermeasures focus primarily on changing individual drinking and driving behavior while others seek to influence people to intervene into others' drinking and driving decisions.

The key feature of deterrence is it strives to change DWI behavior without dealing directly with the prevailing attitudes about the rightness or wrongness of DWI. Deterrence uses a mechanism quite distinct from attitudinal change: fear of apprehension and application of sanctions.
The Fear of Being Caught and Punished

Large scale DWI deterrence programs try to control the DWI behavior of the driving public by appealing to the public’s presumed fear of being caught. Most actual or potential DWI violators view the prospect of being arrested with extreme distaste. For some, the arrest, with its attendant handcuffing, booking, publicity, and other stigmatizing and traumatizing features, is the thing most to be feared. For others, it is the prospective punishment (jail, stiff fine, etc.) that causes most of the concern. Still others fear most the long-term costs and inconvenience of a DWI arrest: the license suspension and increased premiums for automobile insurance. For many violators the fear probably is a combination of all of these. Regardless, if enough violators are sufficiently fearful of a DWI arrest, some of them will avoid committing the violation at least some of the time. Fear by itself will not change their attitudes; if they do not see anything inherently wrong with drinking and driving in the first place, the prospect of arrest and punishment will not help them come to this realization. However, fear sometimes can be enough to keep them from putting their anti-social attitudes into practice.

This type of DWI deterrence, based on the fear of being caught, is commonly called general deterrence. It applies to the driving public generally and presumably affects the behavior of those who have never been caught. There is an element of fear of the unknown at work here.
Another type of DWI deterrence, called specific deterrence, applies to those who have been caught and arrested. The typical specific deterrent involves some type of punishment, perhaps a fine, involuntary community service, a jail term, or action against the driver's license. The punishment is imposed in the hope it will convince the specific violator there is indeed something to fear as a result of being caught and to emphasize if there is a next time, the punishment will be even more severe. It is the fear of the known that comes into play in this case.

The concept of DWI deterrence through fear of apprehension or punishment seems sound. But will it work in actual practice? The crux of the problem is this: If the motoring public is to fear arrest and punishment for DWI, they must perceive there is an appreciable risk of being caught and convicted if they commit the crime. If actual and potential DWI violators come to believe the chance of being arrested is minimal, they will quickly lose whatever fear of arrest they may have felt.

Enforcement is the mechanism for creating and sustaining a fear of being caught for DWI. No specific deterrence program can amount to much unless police officers arrest large numbers of violators; no punishment or rehabilitation program can affect behavior on a large scale unless it is applied to many people. General deterrence depends on enforcement -- the fear of being caught is a direct function of the number of people who are caught.
Obviously, the police alone cannot do the job. Legislators must supply laws the police can enforce. Prosecutors must vigorously prosecute DWI violators and the judiciary must adjudicate fairly and deliver the punishments prescribed by law. The media must publicize the enforcement effort and communicate the fact the risk is not worth the probable outcome. Each of these elements plays a supportive role in DWI deterrence.
**How much deterrence is enough?**

Estimates from around the country vary. For every DWI violator arrested, there are approximately 100 undetected DWI violations.

According to the CDC (2014), there were 111 million incidents of DWI per year. According to the FBI UCR, 1.08 million DWI arrests were made in 2015, which means law enforcement arrested approximately one out of every 100 DWI episodes.
How Great is the Risk?

The question now is, are violators afraid of being caught? More importantly, should they be afraid? Is there really an appreciable risk of being arrested if one commits DWI?

The answer to all of these questions unfortunately is: probably not. In most jurisdictions, the number of DWI arrests appears to fall short of what would be required to sustain a public perception there is a significant risk of being caught.

Sometimes, it is possible to enhance the perceived risk, at least for a while, through intensive publicity. However, media "hype" without intensified enforcement has never been enough to maintain the fear of arrest for very long.
Changing the Odds

If an arrest/violation ratio of 1 in 100 is not enough to make deterrence work, is it then reasonable to think we can ever make deterrence work?

After all, if we doubled DWI arrests to 1 in 50, we would still be missing 49 violators for every one we managed to catch. If we increased arrests tenfold, to 1 in 10, 9 would escape for every one arrested. How much deterrence would that produce?

Surprisingly, it would probably produce quite a bit. We don’t have to arrest every DWI offender every time in order to convince them they have something to fear. We only have to arrest enough of them, enough of the time. As the arrest rate increases, the odds are it will happen to them eventually. The law of averages (or cumulative probability) will catch up with them and sooner than we might at first expect.
D. Evidence of Effective Detection and Effective Deterrence

*Can it Be Done and Will it Work?*

Is there any evidence a practical and realistic increase in DWI enforcement activity will induce a significant degree of general deterrence and a corresponding change in DWI behavior? Yes there is.
Several enforcement programs have succeeded in achieving significant DWI deterrence. Consider, for example, the three-year intensive weekend DWI enforcement program in Stockton, California.

As early as 1975, a study showed the city's total number of DWI arrests (700) were considerably less than one percent of the areas licensed number of drivers (130,000). The implication here was Stockton police were only maintaining the arrest/violation ration of 1:2,000, or less. In addition, roadside surveys on Friday and Saturday nights disclosed nine percent of the drivers were operating with BAC's of 0.10 or higher.

Then things changed. Beginning in 1976, and continuing at planned intervals through the first half of 1979, Stockton police conducted intensive DWI enforcement on weekend nights. The officers involved were extensively trained. The enforcement effort was heavily publicized and additional equipment (PBTs and cassette recorders) was made available. The police effort was closely coordinated with the District Attorney's office, the County Probation office, and other allied criminal justice and safety organizations.
All this paid off. By the time the project came to a close (in 1979), DWI arrests had increased by over 500%, weekend nighttime collisions had decreased by 34%, and the number of operators committing DWI dropped one third.

The implication of the Fort Lauderdale study, and of other similar studies, was for every DWI violator actually arrested for DWI, three others were contacted by police officers but were not arrested for DWI. From the Stockton study it is clear significant improvement in the arrest rate could be achieved if officers were more skilled at DWI detection.
Improved DWI detection can be achieved in virtually every jurisdiction in the country.

The keys to success are police officers who are:
• Skilled at DWI detection
• Willing to arrest every DWI violator who is detected
• Supported by their agencies in all aspects of this program from policy through practical application

Since the historical Stockton study, numerous States have conducted similar studies to determine the degree of effect DWI arrests would have on alcohol-related fatalities in general and total fatalities in particular. Most of these studies were conducted between 1978 and 1986.

The results of these studies graphically illustrated in each State when the number of arrests for DWI increased the percentage of alcohol-related fatalities decreased. Further, the results of a study conducted in Florida from 1981-1983 showed when DWI arrests per licensed driver increased total fatalities decreased (12 month moving average).
Detection: The Key to Deterrence

It is important to understand how increased DWI enforcement can affect deterrence. Deterrence can vastly exceed the level of enforcement officers achieve on any given night. Weekend DWI arrests can increase by as much as 500%, as in the Stockton study.
The law of averages quickly starts to catch up with DWI drivers. Unless violators change their behavior, many of them will be caught or at least will have known someone who has been arrested. Coupled with the heavy publicity given to the enforcement effort, those experiences were enough to raise the perception level of apprehension among DWI operators that sooner or later they would be caught. As a result, many of them changed their behavior. This is the best example of general deterrence.

In addition, during the same time DWI arrests went up over 500% in Stockton, citations for other traffic violations increased by a comparatively modest 99%. The implication is Stockton's officers were stopping and contacting only twice as many possible violators as they had before but they were coming up with more than five times as many arrests.
What have the results of these studies shown? Basically, they have shown a community will benefit from their officers' increased skills at DWI detection. Principally, because of their special training, the officers were better able to recognize "cues" of impairment when they observed vehicles in motion and they were more familiar with the "clues" or human indicators of impairment exhibited by violators during personal contact. The officers also had more confidence in the field sobriety tests they used to investigate their suspects. The most important factor was far fewer of the violators being stopped now avoided detection and arrest.

The difficulty in detecting DWI among operators personally contacted by untrained officers has been well documented. Analysis of roadside survey and arrest data suggest for every DWI violator arrested, three others actually have face-to-face contact with police officers but are allowed to go without arrest. Direct support of that inference was found in the Fort Lauderdale BAC study where researchers demonstrated police officers arrested only 22% of the DWI operators they contacted whose BAC levels were subsequently shown to be between 0.10 and 0.20.
The ability to detect DWI violators is the key to general deterrence and possibly the greatest impediment to it. If we accept the three to one ratio of failed detections as being reasonably accurate, the implications are rather alarming. Consider the impact on a DWI violator's subsequent behavior when, after being stopped by the police, the operator is allowed to continue driving. Very likely, these DWI violators and their friends will become even more convinced of their ability to handle drinking and driving. Further, they will come to believe they will never be arrested because police officers can't determine when they are "over the limit." Instead of creating general DWI deterrence, this attitude breeds specific reinforcement. This helps to develop a feeling among DWI violators they have nothing more to fear from police than an occasional ticket for a minor traffic offense.

On the positive side, the ratio of undetected to detected violations suggests much can be accomplished with existing resources if we use those resources as efficiently as possible. By just being able to improve detection skills of law enforcement officers, we could experience an increase in the arrest/violation ratio without any increase in contacts.

This same, or better, degree of effectiveness can happen here.
E. Physiology of Alcohol

A brief overview of alcohol:

Alcohol is the most abused drug in the United States.

"Alcohol" is the name given to a family of closely-related and naturally-occurring chemicals. Each of the chemicals called an "alcohol" contains a molecule chemists refer to as a "hydroxy radical." This radical contains one oxygen atom and one hydrogen atom bonded together. The simplest alcohol has only one carbon atom, three hydrogen atoms, and one hydroxy radical. The next alcohol has two carbon atoms, five hydrogen atoms, and one hydroxy radical. The third alcohol has three carbon atoms, seven hydrogen atoms, and one hydroxy radical. That is how the alcohols differ from one another.

Alcohols are molecularly very similar and produce similar effects. They produce intoxicating effects when ingested into the human body. Only one of them is meant for human consumption. However, when ingested in substantial quantities it can cause death.
Three of the more commonly known alcohols are Methyl, Ethyl, and Isopropyl.

- Methyl alcohol also known as Methanol or wood alcohol
- Ethyl alcohol also known as Ethanol or beverage alcohol
- Isopropyl Alcohol (Isopropanol) also known as rubbing alcohol
The ingestible alcohol is known as ethyl alcohol, or ethanol. Its chemical abbreviation is ETOH. The "ET" stands for "ethyl" and the "OH" represents the single oxygen atom bonded to one of the hydrogen atoms ("hydroxy radical"). Ethanol is the variety of alcohol that has two carbon atoms. Two of ethanol's best known analogs are methyl alcohol (or methanol), commonly called "wood alcohol", and isopropyl alcohol (or isopropanol), also known as "rubbing alcohol".
Ethanol is what interests us because it is the kind of alcohol that features prominently in impaired driving. Ethanol is beverage alcohol, the active ingredient in beer, wine, whiskey, liquors, etc. Ethanol production starts with fermentation. That is a kind of decomposition in which the sugars in fruit, grains, and other organic materials combine with yeast to produce the chemical we call ethanol. This can occur naturally, as yeast spores in the air come into contact with decomposing fruit and grains. However, most of the ethanol in the world didn't ferment naturally, but was produced under human supervision.

When an alcoholic beverage is produced by fermentation, the maximum ethanol content that can be reached is about 14%. At that concentration, the yeast dies, so the fermentation stops. Obtaining a higher ethanol content requires a process called distillation. This involves heating the beverage until the ethanol "boils off," then collecting the ethanol vapor. It is possible to do this because ethanol boils at a lower temperature than does water.
Distilled spirits is the name we give to high ethanol concentration beverages produced by distillation. These include rum, whiskey, gin, vodka, etc. The ethanol concentration of distilled spirits usually is expressed in terms of proof, which is a number corresponding to twice the ethanol percentage. For example, an 80-proof beverage has an ethanol concentration of 40%.
Over the millennia, during which people have used and abused ethanol, some common-sized servings of the different beverages have evolved.

- Beer is normally dispensed in 12-ounce servings
  - Since beer has an ethanol concentration of about four percent, the typical bottle or can of beer contains a little less than one half ounce of pure ethanol
- A standard glass of wine has about four ounces of liquid
  - Wine is about 12% alcohol so the glass of wine also has a bit less than one half ounce of ethanol in it
- Whiskey and other distilled spirits are dispensed by the "shot glass," usually containing about one and one half ounces of fluid
  - At a typical concentration of 40% ethanol (80 proof), the standard shot of whiskey has approximately one half ounce of ethanol

Therefore, as far as their alcoholic contents are concerned, a can of beer, a glass of wine and a shot of whiskey are all the same.
Ethanol is a Central Nervous System Depressant. It doesn’t affect a person until it gets into their Central Nervous System, i.e., the brain, brain stem and spinal cord. Ethanol gets to the brain by getting into the blood. In order to get into the blood, it has to get into the body.

There are actually a number of different ways in which ethanol can get into the body. It can be inhaled: Ethanol fumes, when taken into the lungs, will pass into the bloodstream and a positive BAC will develop. However, prolonged breathing of fairly concentrated fumes would be required to produce a significantly high BAC.

Ethanol could also be injected, directly into a vein; it would then flow with the blood back to the heart, where it would be pumped first to the lungs and then to the brain. And, it could be inserted as an enema and pass quickly from the large intestine into the blood. But none of these methods are of any practical significance because alcohol is almost always introduced into the body orally, i.e., by drinking.
Once the ethanol gets into the stomach it has to move into the blood. The process by which this happens is known as absorption. One very important fact pertaining to alcohol absorption is **it doesn't have to be digested** in order to move from the stomach to the blood.

Another very important fact is alcohol can pass directly through the walls of the stomach. These two facts, taken together, mean under the right circumstances absorption of alcohol can be accomplished fairly quickly. The ideal circumstance for rapid absorption is to drink on an empty stomach.

When the alcohol enters the empty stomach, about 20% of it will make its way directly through the stomach walls. The remaining 80% will pass through the stomach and enter the small intestine, from which it is readily absorbed into the blood. Because the body doesn't need to digest the alcohol before admitting it into the bloodstream, the small intestine will be open to the alcohol as soon as it hits the stomach.

But what if there is food in the stomach? Suppose the person has had something to eat shortly before drinking or eats food while drinking; will that affect the absorption of alcohol? Yes it will. Food has to be at least partially digested in the stomach before it can pass to the small intestine. When the brain senses food is in the stomach, it commands a muscle at the base of the stomach to constrict and cut off the passage to the small intestine. The muscle is called the pylorus, or pyloric valve. As long as it remains constricted, little or nothing will move out of the stomach and into the small intestine. If alcohol is in the stomach along with the food, the alcohol will also remain trapped behind the pylorus. Some of the alcohol trapped in the stomach will begin to break down chemically before it ever gets into the blood. In time, as the digestive process continues, the pylorus will begin to relax and some of the alcohol and food will pass through. But the overall effect will be to slow the absorption significantly. Because the alcohol only slowly gets into the blood, and because the body will continue to process and eliminate the alcohol that does manage to get in there, the drinker's BAC will not climb as high as it would have if he or she had drunk on an empty stomach.
Once the alcohol moves from the stomach into the blood, it will be distributed throughout the body by the blood. Alcohol has an affinity for water. The blood will carry the alcohol to the various tissues and organs of the body and will deposit the alcohol in them in proportion to their water contents.

Brain tissue has a fairly high water content so the brain receives a substantial share of the distributed alcohol. Muscle tissue also has a reasonably high water content but fat tissue contains very little water. Thus, very little alcohol will be deposited in the drinker's body fat. This is one factor that differentiates alcohol from certain other drugs, notably PCP and THC, which are very soluble in fat.
The affinity of alcohol for water, and its lack of affinity for fat, helps explain an important difference in the way alcohol affects women and men. Pound for pound, the typical female's body contains a good deal less water than does the typical man's. This is because women have additional adipose (fatty) tissue designed in part to protect a child in the womb. A Swedish pioneer in alcohol research, E.M.P. Widmark, determined the typical male body is about 68% water, the typical female only about 55%. Thus, when a woman drinks, she has less fluid -- pound for pound -- in which to distribute the alcohol.
As soon as the alcohol enters the bloodstream, the body starts trying to get rid of it. Some of the alcohol will be directly expelled from the body chemically unchanged. For example, some alcohol will leave the body in the breath, urine, sweat, tears, etc. However, only a small portion (about 2-10%) of the ingested alcohol will be directly eliminated.

Most of the alcohol a person drinks is eliminated by metabolism. Metabolism is a process of chemical change. In this case, alcohol reacts with oxygen in the body and changes through a series of intermediate steps into carbon dioxide and water, both of which are directly expelled from the body.
Metabolism in the Liver

- Burns ethanol
- Aided by alcohol dehydrogenase enzyme
- Ultimate products of chemical reaction are carbon dioxide and water
- Average person’s BAC drops by about 0.015 per hour

Most of the metabolism of alcohol in the body takes place in the liver. An enzyme known as alcohol dehydrogenase acts to speed up the reaction of alcohol with oxygen. The speed of the reaction varies somewhat from person to person and even from time to time for any given person. On the average, however, a person’s blood alcohol concentration -- after reaching peak value -- will drop by about 0.015 per hour. For example, if the person reaches a maximum BAC of 0.15, it will take about ten hours for the person to eliminate all of the alcohol.

For the average-sized male, a BAC of 0.015 is equivalent to about two thirds of the alcohol content of a standard drink (i.e., about two thirds of a can of beer, or glass of wine, or shot of whiskey). For the average-sized female, that same BAC would be reached on just one half of a standard drink. So the typical male will eliminate about two thirds of a drink per hour while the typical female will burn up about one half of a drink in that hour.
We can control the rate at which alcohol enters our bloodstream. For example, we can gulp down our drinks or slowly sip them. We can drink on an empty stomach or we can take the precaution of eating before drinking. We can choose to drink a lot or a little. But once the alcohol gets into the blood, there is nothing we can do to affect how quickly it leaves. Coffee won't accelerate the rate at which our livers burn alcohol. Neither will exercise, or deep breathing, or a cold shower. We simply have to wait for the process of metabolism to move along at its own speed.
**Dose Response Relationships**

People sometimes ask, "how 'high' is 'drunk'?" What is the "legal limit" for "drunk driving"? How much can a person drink before becoming "impaired"? Depends... Time? Sex? Weight? Drinking on an empty stomach? A couple of beers can do it.

There is no simple answer to these or similar questions except to say any amount of alcohol will affect a person's ability to drive to some degree. It is true the laws of nearly all States establish a BAC limit at which it is explicitly unlawful to operate a vehicle. In those cases, that "limit" is 0.08 BAC. But every State also makes it unlawful to drive when "under the influence" of alcohol and the law admits the possibility a particular person may be under the influence at much lower BACs.
How much alcohol does someone have to drink to reach these kinds of BACs?

Obviously, as we've already seen, it depends on how much time the person spends drinking, on whether the person is a man or a woman, on how large the person is, on whether the drinking takes place on an empty stomach, and on certain other factors. But let's take as an example a 175 pound man. If he drinks two beers, or two shots of whiskey, in quick succession on an empty stomach, his BAC will climb to slightly above 0.04. Two more beers will boost him above 0.08. One more will push him over 0.10. In one respect, then, it doesn't take very much alcohol to impair someone: "a couple of beers" can do it.
But in another respect, when we contrast alcohol with virtually any other drug, we find impairment by alcohol requires a vastly larger dose than does impairment by the others. Consider exactly what a BAC of 0.08 means. BAC is expressed in terms of the "number of grams of ethanol in every 100 milliliters of blood". Therefore, 0.08 means there is 0.08g of ethanol in every 100 milliliters (mL) of blood. You will find BAC results are reported in a variety of units. Two common variations are milligrams/milliliters and percent. There are 1000 milligrams (mg) in one gram; therefore, 0.08g equals 80mg and a BAC of 0.08 would be reported as 80mg of ethanol/100mL of blood. Percent means parts per one hundred. In this example 0.08g/100mL of blood is equivalent to 0.08% BAC.

Note: The term BAC is used in the manual. However, it should be understood to refer to either Blood Alcohol Concentration (BAC) or Breath Alcohol Concentration (BrAC) depending on the legal requirements of the jurisdiction.
Test Your Knowledge

1. In typical enforcement jurisdictions one DWI violation in ______ results in arrest.

2. In the Fort Lauderdale study, police officers arrested ______% of the drivers they contacted whose BACs were .10 to .20.

3. Name three different chemicals that are alcohols.
   ____________________________________________________________________
   ____________________________________________________________________
   ____________________________________________________________________
   ____________________________________________________________________
   ____________________________________________________________________
   ____________________________________________________________________
   ____________________________________________________________________
   ____________________________________________________________________
   ____________________________________________________________________
   ____________________________________________________________________
4. Which of these is beverage alcohol, intended for human consumption?
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________

5. What is the chemical symbol for beverage alcohol?
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________

6. What is the name of the chemical process by which beverage alcohol is produced naturally?
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
7. What is the name of the process used to produce high concentration beverage alcohol?

_________________________________________________________________________________

_________________________________________________________________________________

8. Blood alcohol concentration is the number of alcohol in every 100 milliliters of blood.
   a. Grams
   b. Milligrams
   c. Nanograms

_________________________________________________________________________________

_________________________________________________________________________________

_________________________________________________________________________________

_________________________________________________________________________________

_________________________________________________________________________________

_________________________________________________________________________________

_________________________________________________________________________________

_________________________________________________________________________________
9. True or false: Pound for pound, the average woman contains more water than does the average man.
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________

10. What do we mean by the “proof” of an alcoholic beverage?
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________

11. Every chemical that is an “alcohol” contains what three elements?
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
12. True or false: Most of the alcohol a person drinks is absorbed into the blood via the small intestine.

_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________

13. What is the name of the muscle that controls the passage from the stomach to the lower gastrointestinal tract?

_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________

14. True or false: Alcohol can pass directly through the stomach walls and enter the bloodstream.

_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
15. Multiple choice: Suppose a man and a woman who both weigh 160 pounds arrived at a party and started to drink at the same time. And suppose, two hours later, they both have a BAC of 0.10. Chances are...

a. He had more to drink than she did.

b. They drank just about the same amount of alcohol.

c. He had less to drink than she did.
16. In which organ of the body does most of the metabolism of the alcohol take place?

_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________

17. What is the name of the enzyme that aids the metabolism of alcohol?

_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
18. Multiple choice: Once a person reaches his or her peak BAC, it will drop at a rate of about ____ per hour.
   a. 0.025
   b. 0.015
   c. 0.010

19. True or False: It takes about 30 minutes for the average 175 pound man to "burn off" the alcohol in one 12 ounce can of beer.
An understanding of impaired driving laws that apply in your jurisdiction is critical to successful DWI enforcement.

All States (and many local jurisdictions) have their own impaired driving laws. While the specific language of these laws may vary significantly, most include the following provisions:

- DWI Law
- Per Se Law
- Implied Consent
- Preliminary Breath Testing (if applicable)
Upon successfully completing this session, the participant will be familiar with:
• Elements of DWI offenses
• Provisions of implied consent
• The relevance of chemical test evidence
• Precedents established through case law

In this session, impaired driving laws are discussed in detail. The illustrations provided are drawn from the Uniform Vehicle Code. You are responsible for learning whether and how each law applies in your jurisdiction.

CONTENT SEGMENTS
A. DWI Statute: Driving While Under the Influence
B. Per Se Statute: Driving With a Prohibited Blood Alcohol Concentration
C. Implied Consent
D. Preliminary Breath Testing
E. Case Law Review

LEARNING ACTIVITIES
Instructor-Led Presentations
Reading Assignments
A. DWI Statute: Driving While Under the Influence

A State's DWI statute may be subtitled Driving While Under the Influence or something similar. Typically the statute describes the who, what, where and how of the offense in language.
Legal Definitions

Driving
Legal Definitions

Driving

Actual Physical Control
Legal Definitions

Driving
Actual Physical Control
Vehicle/Motor Vehicle
Legal Definitions

Driving
Actual Physical Control
Vehicle
Location
One of the several terms used to describe the degradation of mental and/or physical abilities necessary for safely operating a vehicle.

Legal Definitions

Driving
Actual Physical Control
Vehicle
Location
Impaired/Under the Influence
**DWI Violation Arrest**

In order to arrest someone for DWI, a law enforcement officer must have probable cause to believe all elements of the offense are present. That is, the officer must believe:

The person in question was operating or in actual physical control of a vehicle (truck, van, automobile, motorcycle, even bicycle, according to specific provisions in various States) while under the influence of alcohol, another drug, or both.
**Conviction**

In order to convict a person of DWI, it is necessary to establish at all elements were present.

- Operation
- Control
- Vehicle
- Impairment

If DWI is a criminal offense, the facts must be established "beyond a reasonable doubt." If DWI is a violation, the standard of proof may be less. In either case, it is the officer's responsibility to collect and thoroughly document all evidence for use at trial.

In some States, an operator may be charged with a non-criminal alcohol-related violation and the standard of proof may be less.
B. Per Se Statute: Driving with a Prohibited Blood Alcohol Concentration (BAC)

All States include in their DWI statutes a provision making it illegal to drive with a statutorily prohibited BAC. This provision, often called a Per Se law, creates another mechanism by which a suspect can be prosecuted for a DWI offense.

Following is a typical Per Se provision.

It is unlawful for any person to:
• Operate or be in physical control
• Of any vehicle
• Within this State
• While having a BAC at or above State’s level

These elements may vary from State to State.
The DWI and DWI Per Se can work simultaneously to prosecute a suspect for DWI:
• The DWI law makes it an offense to drive while under the influence of alcohol and/or any drug
• The DWI Per Se law makes it an offense to drive while having more than a statutorily prohibited BAC

The Per Se law is an additional method of prosecuting DWI. For the DWI, the chemical test result is additional evidence. For the DWI Per Se, the chemical test result is presumptive evidence.

The principal purpose of the Per Se law is to aid in prosecution of DWI offenders. It is not necessary for the prosecutor to show the driver was "under the influence." It is sufficient for the State to show the driver's BAC was at or above the State’s level.

Important to remember, an officer must still have probable cause to believe the driver is impaired before making an arrest. Implied consent usually requires the driver be arrested before the request of a chemical test. The law also requires the arrest be made for "acts alleged to have been committed while operating a vehicle while under the influence." Therefore, the officer usually must establish probable cause the offense has been committed and make a valid arrest before the chemical test can be requested.
**Per Se Summary**

Police officers dealing with impaired drivers must continue to rely primarily on their own training and experience in detection to determine whether an arrest should be made. It is impossible to obtain a legally admissible chemical test result until after the arrest has been made. Sometimes drivers will refuse the chemical test after they have been arrested. The case will depend primarily upon the officer’s observations and ability to articulate their testimony. When making a DWI arrest, always assume the chemical test evidence will not be available. It is critical you organize, document, and present your observations and testimony in a clear and convincing manner.
C. Implied Consent

Implied consent law states suspected DWI drivers are deemed to have given their consent to submit to chemical testing. If the driver fails to provide a chemical test, they can be subject to license sanctions.
The law provides penalties for refusal to submit to the testing. These penalties may include the suspension or revocation of the individual’s driver’s license. The purpose of implied consent is to encourage those arrested for DWI to submit to a chemical test so valuable evidence may be obtained.

Elements of Implied Consent

- Operates or controls motor vehicle
- Operator deemed to have given consent to chemical testing
- Arrested for DWI
- Drivers who refuse are subject to license sanctions

The Legal Environment
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Legal presumptions define impairment and emphasize the significance of the scientific chemical test evidence.

For example, if the chemical test shows the person's BAC is .08 or more it shall be presumed the person is under the influence.

In this State, if the test shows the BAC is _____ or less, it shall be presumed the person is not under the influence.

If the test shows the BAC is more than _____ but less than _____, there is no presumption as to whether the person is or is not under the influence. The weight of the chemical test evidence is presumptive of alcohol influence, not conclusive.

The fact finder (court or jury) may accept the legal presumption and conclude the driver was or was not impaired on the basis of the chemical test alone. However, other evidence such as testimony about the defendant’s driving, odor of alcohol, appearance, behavior, movements, speech, etc. may be sufficient to overcome the presumptive weight of the chemical test.
It is possible for a person whose BAC at the time of arrest is above the per se or presumptive level legal limit to be acquitted of DWI. It is also possible for a person whose BAC at the time is below the per se or presumptive level to be convicted of DWI. Consider the following examples:

Example 1
A driver is arrested for DWI. A chemical test administered to the driver shows a BAC of 0.13. At the subsequent trial, the chemical test evidence is introduced. However, the arresting officer’s testimony about the defendant’s driving, appearance, and behavior was confusing and unclear. Therefore, the State was unable to prove all of the elements of the crime beyond a reasonable doubt.
Example 2
A driver is arrested for DWI. A chemical test administered to the driver shows a BAC of 0.05. At the subsequent trial, the chemical test evidence is introduced. In addition, the arresting officer testifies about the defendant’s driving, odor of alcohol, appearance, slurred speech, and inability to perform divided attention field sobriety tests. The testimony is clear and descriptive. The court finds the defendant guilty of DWI.

The difference in outcomes in the two examples cited is directly attributable to how well the arresting officer articulates the evidence other than the chemical test. Remember the chemical test provides presumptive evidence of alcohol influence; it does not provide conclusive evidence. While the "legal limit" in a given jurisdiction may be 0.08 BAC, many people will demonstrate impaired driving long before that "legal limit" is reached.
D. Preliminary Breath Testing (PBT)

Description
Many States have enacted PBT laws. These laws permit a law enforcement officer to request a driver suspected of DWI to submit to a roadside breath test prior to arrest. PBT laws vary significantly from one State to another.

Application
PBT results may be used to assist in determining whether an arrest should be made. The results may not be admissible as substantive evidence against the defendant in court. Discuss State laws regarding admissibility of PBT results. However, PBT laws may provide statutory or administrative penalties if the driver refuses to submit to the test. These penalties may include license suspension, fines, or other sanctions.
E. Case Law Reviews

The following cases are landmark court decisions relevant to the admissibility of Standardized Field Sobriety Tests (SFSTs) and Horizontal Gaze Nystagmus (HGN). Challenges to the admissibility have been based on (1) scientific validity and reliability; (2) relationship of HGN to specific BAC level; and, (3) officer training, experience, and application.

_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
SFST Case Law
Refer to local State information
HGN Case Law

Refer to local State information
Search and Seizure Case Law

Refer to local State information
Other Relevant Case Law

Refer to local State information
TO SUMMARIZE:
The prevailing trend in court is to accept HGN as evidence of impairment, provided the proper scientific foundation is laid. However, most courts consistently reject any attempt to derive a quantitative estimate of BAC from HGN. Additionally, officers should recognize the relevance of administering the SFSTs in accordance with the NHTSA/IACP guidelines.
Test Your Knowledge

1. If DWI is a criminal offense, the standard of proof is ____________________________

2. The purpose of implied consent is ____________________________

3. For the Per se offense, chemical test result is _____ evidence.

4. The Per Se law makes it unlawful to ____________________________

_________________________________________________________________________________

_________________________________________________________________________________

_________________________________________________________________________________

_________________________________________________________________________________
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Overview of Detection, Note Taking, and Testimony
Upon successfully completing this session, the participant will be able to:

- Describe the three phases of detection
- Describe the tasks and key decision of each phase
- Discuss the uses of a standard note taking guide
- Discuss guidelines for effective testimony

Detection is both the most important and difficult task in the DWI enforcement effort. If officers fail to detect DWI offenders, the DWI countermeasures program will ultimately fail. If officers do not detect and arrest DWI offenders, then prosecutors cannot prosecute them, the courts and driver licensing officials cannot impose sanctions on them, and treatment and rehabilitation programs will go unused.

CONTENT SEGMENTS
A. Three Phases of Detection
B. DWI Investigation Field Notes
C. Courtroom Testimony

LEARNING ACTIVITIES
Instructor-led Presentation
Reading Assignments
The term DWI detection has been used in many different ways. Consequently it does not mean the same thing to all law enforcement officers. For the purposes of this training, DWI detection is defined as: The entire process of identifying and gathering evidence to determine if a subject should be arrested for a DWI violation.

Detection begins when the officer develops the first suspicion of a DWI violation.

Detection ends when the officer decides whether or not there is sufficient probable cause to arrest the driver for DWI. Your attention may be called to a particular vehicle or individual for a variety of reasons. The precipitating event may be a loud noise, an obvious equipment or moving violation, unusual but not necessarily illegal behavior, or almost anything else. Initial detection may carry with it an immediate suspicion the driver is impaired, or a slight suspicion, or even no suspicion at all. In any case, it sets in motion a process wherein you focus on a particular vehicle or individual and have the opportunity to observe that vehicle or individual and to gather additional evidence.

The detection process ends when you decide either to arrest or not to arrest the individual for DWI. That decision is based on all of the evidence that has come to light since your attention was first drawn to the vehicle or individual. Effective DWI enforcers do not leap to the arrest/no arrest decision. Rather, they proceed carefully through a series of intermediate steps, each of which helps to identify the collective evidence.
**A. Three Phases of Detection**

The typical DWI contact involves three separate and distinct phases:

- Phase One: Vehicle in motion
- Phase Two: Personal contact
- Phase Three: Pre-arrest screening

In Phase One, you usually observe the driver operating the vehicle.

In Phase Two, after you have stopped the vehicle, there usually is an opportunity to observe and speak with the driver face to face.

In Phase Three, you usually have an opportunity to administer Standardized Field Sobriety Tests (SFSTs) to the driver to determine impairment.

In addition to SFSTs, some jurisdictions may allow you to administer other field sobriety tests and/or a preliminary breath test (PBT) to verify alcohol is the cause of the impairment. PBTs can be used to assist in making an arrest decision and should rarely be the only factor in deciding to arrest. PBTs should be used after administering SFSTs.

The DWI detection process does not always include all three phases. Sometimes there are DWI detection contacts in which Phase One is absent. These are cases in which you have no opportunity to observe the vehicle in motion. This may occur at the crash scene, at a roadblock or checkpoint, or when you have responded to a request for motorist assistance. Sometimes there are DWI contacts in which Phase Three is absent. There are cases in which you would not administer formal tests to the driver. This may occur when the driver is grossly impaired, badly injured, or refuses to submit to tests.
In each of the three phases, there will be decisions and possible outcomes.

*Major Tasks and Decisions*
Each detection phase usually involves two major tasks and one major decision.

---

**Decisions**
- Phase One - Stop?
- Phase Two - Exit?
- Phase Three - Arrest?

**Possible Outcomes**
- Yes - Do it now
- Wait - Look for more evidence
- No - Don't do it
In Phase One: Your first task is to observe the vehicle in operation. Based on this observation, you must decide whether there is sufficient cause to command the driver to stop. Your second task is to observe the stopping sequence. You may want to take a picture of the vehicle or scene especially if the vehicle was involved in a crash.

In Phase Two: Your first task is to observe and interview the driver face to face. Based on this observation, you must decide whether there is sufficient cause to instruct the driver to step from the vehicle for further investigation. Your second task is to observe the driver's exit and walk from the vehicle. You may want to take a photo of the defendant.

In Phase Three: Your first task is to administer structured, formal psychophysical tests. Based on these tests, you must decide whether there is sufficient probable cause to arrest the driver for DWI. Your second task is then to arrange for (or administer) a PBT.
Each of the major decisions can have any one of three different outcomes:
• Yes - Do it Now
• Wait - Look for Additional Evidence
• No - Don't Do It

Consider the following examples.

*Yes - Do It Now*
Phase One: Yes, there are reasonable grounds to stop the vehicle.

Phase Two: Yes, there is enough reason to suspect impairment to justify getting the driver out of the vehicle for further investigation.

Phase Three: Yes, there is probable cause to arrest driver for DWI right now.
Wait - Look for Additional Evidence

Phase One: Don't stop the vehicle yet; keep following and observing it a bit longer.

Phase Two: Don't get the driver out of the car yet; keep talking to and observing the driver a bit longer. (This option may be limited if the officer's personal safety is at risk.)

Phase Three: Don't arrest the driver yet; administer another field sobriety test before deciding.
**Don't Do It:**

Phase One: No, there are no grounds for stopping that vehicle.

Phase Two: No, there isn’t enough evidence of DWI to justify administering field sobriety tests.

Phase Three: No, there is not sufficient probable cause to believe this driver has committed DWI.
Officer Responsibility
In each phase of detection, you must determine whether there is sufficient evidence to establish the "reasonable suspicion" necessary to proceed to the next step in the detection process. It is always your duty to carry out whatever tasks are appropriate and to make sure ALL relevant evidence of DWI is gathered.
DWI Detection – Phase One

Answers to questions like these can aid you in DWI detection.

Phase One:
• What is the vehicle doing?
• Do I have grounds to stop the vehicle?
• How does the driver respond to my signal to stop?
• How does the driver handle the vehicle during the stopping sequence?

_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
Phase Two:
• When I approach the vehicle, what do I see?
• When I talk with the driver, what do I hear, see, and smell?
• How does the driver respond to my questions?
• Should I instruct the driver to exit vehicle?
• How does the driver exit?
• When the driver walks toward the side of the road, what do I see?
Phase Three:
• Should I administer SFSTs to the driver?
• How does the driver perform those tests?
• What exactly did the driver do wrong when performing the tests?
• Do I have probable cause to arrest for DWI?
• Should I administer a preliminary breath test?
• What are the results of the preliminary breath test?
The most successful DWI detectors are those officers who:
• Know what to observe
• Ask the right kinds of questions
• Use the right kinds of tests
• Interpret, document, and articulate all observations thoroughly
• Be motivated and apply your knowledge and skills
Note Taking and Testimony
A basic skill needed for DWI enforcement is the ability to graphically **describe** your observations. Just as detection is the process of collecting evidence, description largely is the process of **conveying** or articulating evidence.

Successful description demands the ability to convey evidence clearly and convincingly. Your challenge is to communicate evidence to people who weren't there to see, hear, and smell the evidence themselves. Your tools are the words that make up your written report and verbal testimony. You must communicate with the supervisor, the prosecutor, the judge, the jury, and even with the defense attorney. You are trying to "paint a word picture" for those people to develop a sharp mental image that allows them to "see" what you saw, "hear" what you heard, and "smell" what you smelled.

Officers who select the most appropriate terminology for both written reports and courtroom testimony will be better able to communicate clearly and convincingly, making DWI prosecution more successful.
**Use Clear and Convincing Language**

Field notes are only as good as the information they contain. Reports must be clearly written and events accurately described if the reports are to have evidentiary value. One persistent problem with DWI incident reports is the use of vague language to describe conditions, events, and statements. When vague language is used, reports provide an inaccurate picture of what happened. Clear and complete field notes help in preparation for your testimony.
Consider the following examples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vague Language</th>
<th>Clear Language</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Made an illegal left turn on Jefferson</td>
<td>• From Main, turned left (north bound) on Jefferson, which is one way south bound</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Drove erratically</td>
<td>• Weaving from side to side. Crossed center line twice and drove on shoulder three times</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Driver appeared drunk, shaking</td>
<td>• Driver’s eyes bloodshot; gaze fixed; Strong odor of alcoholic beverage on driver’s breath</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consider the following examples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vague Language</th>
<th>Clear Language</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Vehicle stopped in unusual fashion</td>
<td>• Vehicle struck, climbed curb; stopped on sidewalk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Vehicle crossed the center line</td>
<td>• Vehicle drifted completely into the opposing traffic lane</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

---

---

---

---

---

---
B. DWI Investigation Field Notes

One of the most critical tasks in the DWI enforcement process is the recognition and documentation of facts and clues that establish legal grounds to stop, investigate, and subsequently arrest persons suspected of DWI. The evidence gathered during the detection process must establish the elements of the violation and must be completely documented to support successful prosecution of the defendant. This evidence is largely sensory (sight, smell, hearing) in nature, and therefore, is extremely short-lived.

You must be able to recognize and act on the facts and circumstances with which you are confronted. But you also must completely document your observations and describe them clearly and convincingly to secure a conviction. You may be inundated with evidence of DWI, i.e., sights, sounds, smells. You recognize this evidence, sometimes subconsciously, and on this evidence base your decisions to stop, to investigate, and ultimately to arrest.

Since evidence of a DWI violation is short-lived, you need a system and tools for recording field notes at scenes of DWI investigations.
One way to improve the effectiveness of your handwritten field notes is to use a structured note taking guide. The guide makes it easy to record brief "notes" on each step of the detection process and ensures vital evidence is documented.

The field notes provide the information necessary to complete required DWI report forms and assist you in preparing a written account of the incident. The field notes will also be useful if you are required to provide oral testimony since they can be used to refresh your memory.

A model note taking guide is provided for your use. A brief description follows. Details are provided in subsequent units.

**Note-Taking Guide**

Remember you must document those actions which gave you reasonable suspicion or probable cause to justify further investigation of a suspected DWI incident.
• **Section I** provides space to record basic information describing the subject, vehicle, location, and date and time the incident occurred.

• **Section II** provides space to record brief descriptions of the vehicle in motion (Detection Phase One), including initial observation of the vehicle in operation and observation of the stopping sequence.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field Note-Taking Guide</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I. NAME</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADDRESS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O.R.L.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEHICLE MAKE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DISPOSITION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INCIDENT LOCATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DATE</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>II. VEHICLE IN MOTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>INITIAL OBSERVATIONS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OBSERVATION OF STOP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
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• Section III provides space to record brief descriptions of the personal contact with the subject (Detection Phase Two) including observations of the driver.

General Observations provides space to record the subject’s manner of speech, attitude, clothing, etc. Any physical evidence collected should also be noted in this section.

_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
Field Note-Taking Guide

- **CULPRIT'S DESCRIPTION**
  - Name:
  - Age:
  - Sex:
  - Height:
  - Weight:
  - Occupation:
  - Hair:
  - Eye:

- **WEARABLE CASES NOTED**
  - Left:
  - Right:
  - District and sustained damaged at maximum:
  - Repair needed or damage for all involved:

- **WALKING TEST**
  - INSTRUCTION STAGE:
  - FIRST NINE STEPS:
  - SECOND NINE STEPS:
  - OTHER:
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• **Section IV** provides space to record the results of all field sobriety tests administered and the results of the preliminary breath test (PBT) if such a test was given.

• **Section V** provides space to record the officer’s general observations, such as the subject’s manner of speech, attitude, clothing, etc. Any physical evidence collected should also be noted in this section.

Since this is a note-taking guide and space is limited, you will have to develop your own "shorthand" system. Your notes should be detailed and descriptive of the facts, circumstances, or events being described. These notes may be used to refresh your memory and to write the narrative report documenting your observations to testify in court.

**NOTE:** Field notes may be subpoenaed as evidence in court. It is important any "shorthand" system you use be describable, usable, complete, and consistent.
C. Courtroom Testimony

Testimonial evidence in DWI cases establishes the defendant was, in fact, the driver and was under the influence. Your testimony should be clear, detailed, and concise. Preparation for trial is done both at the scene and prior to trial.

To be effective, testimonial evidence must be clear and convincing. The first requirement for effective testimony is preparation. Testimony preparation begins at the time of the DWI incident. From the very beginning of the DWI contact, it is your responsibility to:

• Recognize significant evidence
• Compile complete, accurate field notes
• Prepare a complete, accurate, detailed report
Testimony preparation continues prior to trial. Just before the trial, you should:

- Review field notes, incident report, narrative, and other paperwork
- Review other evidence, i.e., video, photographs, etc.
- Mentally organize elements of offense and the evidence available to prove each element
- Mentally organize testimony to convey observations clearly and convincingly
- Identify weak spots and/or potential issues with the case and decide how to address those issues
- Discuss the case with the prosecutor

The foundation for preparation and successful testimony is the relationship between the law enforcement officer(s) involved with the arrest and the prosecuting attorney(s) associated with the case. Effective communication and a clear understanding of each groups’ objectives and expectations is essential for successful prosecution.
Chronology of Testimony

In court, your testimony should be organized chronologically and should cover each phase of the DWI incident:

Phase One: Vehicle in Motion – initial observation of vehicle, the driver, or both including what first attracted your attention to the vehicle/driver and details about the driving before you initiated the traffic stop.

Reinforcing cues, maneuvers, or actions observed after signaling the driver to stop but before driver's vehicle came to a complete stop.

Phase Two: Personal Contact – face to face observations including personal appearance, statements, and other evidence obtained during your initial contact with driver.

Phase Three: Pre-arrest Screening – sobriety tests administered to the driver and the results of any preliminary breath tests (if applicable).
Arrest and Post Arrest Observations

- The arrest itself including procedures used to inform driver of arrest, admonish subject of rights, and so on
- Defendant’s actions, statements, and/or admissions subsequent to the arrest
- Observation of defendant subsequent to the arrest including not just what the defendant said but actions and reactions
- The request for the chemical test including the procedures used, admonition of rights and requirements, and so on
- The conduct, actions, reactions, and results of the chemical test if you were also the testing officer
- The interview of the defendant, including any new observations, statements, and/or admissions.
QUESTIONS?
Test Your Knowledge

1. DWI detection is defined as ___________________________________________________________

2. The three phases in a typical DWI contact are:
   A. Phase One __________
   B. Phase Two __________
   C. Phase Three __________

3. In Phase One, the officer usually has an opportunity to ________________________________
4. Phase Three may not occur if ____________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________________

5. In Phase Two, the officer must decide ________________
_________________________________________________________________________________________

6. Each major decision can have any one of ___ different outcomes. These are: _______________________
_________________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________________
7. At each phase of detection, the officer must determine __________________________

8. Evidence of DWI is largely _______ in nature.

9. Law enforcement officers need a system and tools for recording field notes at scenes of DWI investigations because DWI evidence is _____.

_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
10. Testimony preparations begins

11. List two things the officer should do to prepare testimony just before the trial.

12. In court, the officer’s testimony should be organized
FIELD NOTE-TAKING GUIDE

I. NAME____________________________________________SEX__________ RACE_________________________
ADDRESS_________________________________________CITY/STATE____ OP.LIC.NO._____________________
D.O.B.___________/__________/__________SOC. SEC. #_____________________________________________
VEHICLE MAKE______________YEAR LIC. STATE ___________NO. PASSENGERS _____________________________
DISPOSITION ____________________ INCIDENT LOCATION___________________________________________________________________________
DATE___________/__________/________ TIME __________ CRASH YES [ ] NO [ ]

II. VEHICLE IN MOTION

INITIAL OBSERVATIONS_________________________________________________________________________

OBSERVATION OF STOP________________________________________________________________________

III. PERSONAL CONTACT

OBSERVATION OF DRIVER_______________________________________________________________________

STATEMENTS________________________________________________________________________________

PRE-EXIT SOBRIETY TESTS_____________________________________________________________________

OBSERVATION OF THE EXIT_____________________________________________________________________

ODORS_____________________________________________________________________________________ 

GENERAL OBSERVATIONS

SPEECH____________________________________________________________________________________

ATTITUDE__________________________________________________________________________________

CLOTHING__________________________________________________________________________________

PHYSICAL DEFECTS/DRUGS OR MEDICATIONS USED______________________________________________________________________________________________

IV. PRE-ARREST SCREENING

HORIZONTAL GAZE NYSTAGMUS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LEFT</th>
<th>RIGHT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐ Yes</td>
<td>☐ No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☑ LACK OF SMOOTH PURSUIT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Yes</td>
<td>☐ No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☑ DISTINCT AND SUSTAINED NYSTAGMUS AT MAX DEV</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Yes</td>
<td>☐ No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☑ ONSET OF NYSTAGMUS PRIOR TO 45 DEGREES</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Yes</td>
<td>☐ No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other (i.e., Resting Nystagmus)____________</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Walk and Turn

**Instruction Stage**
- Cannot keep balance
- Starts too soon

**Walking Stage**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>First Nine Steps</th>
<th>Second Nine Steps</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stops walking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Misses heel-to-toe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Steps off line</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uses arms for balance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actual steps taken</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Improper Turn (Describe)**

**Cannot do test (Explain)**

**Other:**

## One Leg Stand

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>L</th>
<th>R</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sways while balancing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uses arms to balance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hopping</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Puts foot down</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Type of Footwear**

**Other:**

## Other Field Sobriety Tests

**Name of Test**

**Describe Performance**

**Name of Test**

**Describe Performance**

**Name of Test**

**Describe Performance**

**PBT (1) (optional) Time:**_______ **Results:**_______

**PBT (2) (optional) Time:**_______ **Results:**_______

---
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Phase One:
Vehicle in Motion
Learning Objectives

- Identify typical cues of Detection Phase One
- Describe observed cues clearly and convincingly
- Understand significance of the impaired motorcycle riders problem
- Obtain skills necessary to detect, arrest, and prosecute alcohol- and drug-impaired motorcyclists

At the conclusion of this session, participants will be able to:

- Identify typical cues of Detection Phase One
- Describe the observed cues clearly and convincingly
- Understand the significance of the problem of impaired motorcycle riders
- Obtain the skills necessary to detect, arrest, and prosecute alcohol- and drug-impaired motorcyclists

CONTENT SEGMENTS

A. Overview: Tasks and Decision
B. Initial Observations: Visual Cues of Impaired Operation (Automobiles)
C. Initial Observations: Visual Cues of Impaired Operation (Motorcycles)
D. Recognition and Description of Initial Cues
E. Typical Reinforcing Cues of the Stopping Sequence
F. Recognition and Description of Initial and Reinforcing Cues

LEARNING ACTIVITIES

Instructor-Led Presentations
Video Presentation
Instructor-Led Demonstrations
Participant Presentations
A. Overview: Tasks and Decision

Your first task in Phase One: Vehicle in Motion is to observe the vehicle in operation and to note any initial cues of a possible DWI violation. At this point you must decide whether there is reasonable suspicion to stop the vehicle; either to conduct further investigation to determine if the driver may be impaired or for another traffic violation. You are not committed to arresting the driver for DWI based on this initial observation, but rather should concentrate on gathering all relevant evidence that may suggest impairment. Your second task during phase one is to observe the manner in which the driver responds to your signal to stop and to note any additional evidence of a DWI violation.

The first task, observing the vehicle in motion, begins when you first notice the vehicle, driver, or both. Your attention may be drawn to the vehicle by such things as:

• A moving traffic violation
• An equipment violation
• An expired registration or inspection sticker
• Unusual driving actions such as weaving within a lane or moving at a slower than normal speed
• Evidence of drinking or drugs in vehicle

If this initial observation discloses vehicle maneuvers or human behaviors that may be associated with impairment, you may develop an initial suspicion of DWI. Based upon this initial observation of the vehicle in motion, you must decide whether there is reasonable suspicion to stop the vehicle. At this point you have three choices:

• Stop the vehicle
• Continue to observe the vehicle
• Disregard the vehicle
Alternatives to stopping the vehicle include:
• Delaying the stop/no stop decision in order to continue observing the vehicle
• Disregarding the vehicle

Whenever there is a valid reason to stop a vehicle, the officer should be alert to the possibility the driver may be impaired by alcohol and/or other drugs.

Once the stop command has been communicated to the suspect driver, the officer must closely observe the driver's actions and vehicle maneuvers during the stopping sequence.

Sometimes significant evidence of alcohol influence comes to light during the stopping sequence. In some cases, the stopping sequence might produce the first suspicion of DWI. Drivers impaired by alcohol and/or other drugs may respond in unexpected and dangerous ways to the stop command.
B. Initial Observations: Visual Cues of Impaired Operation (Automobiles)

Drivers who are impaired frequently exhibit certain effects or symptoms of impairment. These include:
- Slowed reactions
- Impaired judgment as evidenced by a willingness to take risks
- Impaired vision
- Poor coordination

The next page presents common symptoms of alcohol influence.

This unit focuses on alcohol impairment because research currently provides more information about the effects of alcohol on driving than it does about the effects of other drugs on driving. Remember whether the driver is impaired by alcohol and/or drugs, the law enforcement detection process is the same and the offense is still DWI.
The common effects of alcohol on the driver's mental and physical faculties lead to predictable driving violations and vehicle operating characteristics. The National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) sponsored research to identify the most common and reliable initial indicators of DWI. This research identified 24 cues, each with an associated high probability the driver exhibiting the cue is impaired. These cues and their associated probabilities are described in the NHTSA publication, The Visual Detection of DWI Motorists. They also are discussed in Vehicle in Motion, a video sponsored by NHTSA to assist law enforcement officers to recognize DWI detection cues.

NHTSA sponsored research to identify the most common and reliable initial indicators of DWI. Research identified 100 cues, each providing a high probability indication the driver is under the influence.

The list was reduced to 24 cues during three field studies involving hundreds of officers and more than 12,000 enforcement stops.
The driving behaviors are presented in four categories:
• Problems in maintaining proper lane position
• Speed and braking problems
• Vigilance problems
• Judgment problems
There is a brochure published by NHTSA that contains these cues. The title is “The Visual Detection of DWI Motorists” DOT HS 808 677. See Attachment at the end of this session.

The first category is Problems in maintaining proper lane position. [p=0.50-.75]

- Weaving
- Weaving across lane line
- Drifting
- Straddling a lane line
- Swerving
- Almost striking object or vehicle
- Turning with a wide radius
Speed and Braking Problems

- Stopping problems
- Unnecessary acceleration or deceleration
- Varying speed
- 10 mph or more under the speed limit

Speed and braking problems. [p=.45-.70]
- Stopping problems (too far, too short, or too jerky)
- Unnecessary acceleration or deceleration
- Varying speed
- 10 mph or more under the speed limit
The third problem is vigilance problems. [P=.55-.65] This category includes, but is not limited to:

- Driving without headlights at night
- Failure to signal
- Driving wrong way
- Slow response to traffic/officer's signals
- Stopping in lane for no apparent reason
Judgment problems. [P=.35-.90]
• Following too closely (tailgating)
• Improper or unsafe lane change
• Illegal or improper turn
• Driving on other than designated roadway
• Stopping inappropriately in response to officer
• Inappropriate or unusual behavior (throwing objects, arguing, etc.)
• Appearing to be impaired

_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________

DWI Detection and Standardized Field Sobriety Testing
Phase One: Vehicle in Motion
The research also identified 10 post stop clues. [P > .85]
• Difficulty with motor vehicle controls
• Fumbling with driver license or registration
• Difficulty exiting vehicle
• Repeating questions or comments
• Swaying, unsteady, or balance problems
Post Stop Clues (continued)

- Leaning on the vehicle or other object
- Slurred speech
- Slow to respond to officer/officer must repeat
- Provides incorrect information, changes answers
- Odor of alcoholic beverage from the driver
C. Initial Observations: Visual Cues of Impaired Operation (Motorcycles)

Show video. Allow 14 minutes.

NHTSA estimated in 2014, 30 percent of all motorcycle riders killed were impaired by alcohol (BAC .08 or greater).

NHTSA sponsored research to develop a set of behavioral cues to be used by law enforcement personnel to detect motorcyclists who are operating their vehicles while impaired. These cues can be used both day and night. These cues have been labeled as:

- Excellent Predictors
- Good Predictors

_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
Research has identified driving impairment cues for motorcyclists. See Attachment at the end of this session.

Excellent cues (50% or greater probability).
- Drifting during turn or curve
- Trouble with dismount/balance at a stop
- Turning problems
- Inattentive to surroundings
- Inappropriate or unusual behavior
- Weaving
Motorcycle DUI Detection Guide

Good cues (30 to 50% probability)

- Erratic movements while going straight
- Operating without lights at night
- Recklessness
- Following too closely
- Running stop light or sign
- Evasion
- Traveling wrong way

DWI Detection and Standardized Field Sobriety Testing
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Relationship of Visual Cues to Impaired Divided Attention Capability

Driving is a complex task, composed of many parts:

- Steering
- Controlling accelerator
- Signaling
- Controlling brake pedal
- Operating clutch (if applicable)
- Operating gearshift (if applicable)
- Observing other traffic
- Observing signal lights, stop signs, other traffic control devices
- Making decisions (whether to stop, turn, speed up, slow down, etc.)
- Many other things
In order to drive safely, a driver must be able to divide attention among all of these various activities.

Under the influence of alcohol or many drugs, a person's ability to divide attention becomes impaired.

The impaired driver tends to concentrate on certain parts of driving and to disregard other parts.
- Alcohol has impaired ability to divide attention
- Driver is concentrating on steering and controlling the accelerator and brake
- Does not respond to the particular color of the traffic light

Some of the most significant evidence from all three phases of DWI detection can be related directly to the effects of alcohol and/or other drugs on divided attention ability.
D. Recognition and Description of Initial Cues

What do you see?
• Moving violation
• Equipment violation
• Other violation
• Unusual operation
• Anything else

_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
**Phase One: Task One Initial Observation of Vehicle Operation**

The task of making initial observations of vehicle operation is the first step in the job of DWI detection.

Proper performance of that task demands two distinct but related abilities:

- Ability to recognize evidence of alcohol and/or other drug influence
- Ability to describe that evidence clearly and convincingly

It is not enough a police officer observe and recognize symptoms of impaired driving. The officer must be able to articulate what was observed so a judge or jury will have a clear mental image of exactly what took place.

Improving the ability to recognize and clearly describe observational evidence requires practice. It isn't practical to have impaired drivers actually drive through the classroom. The next best thing is to use video to portray typical DWI detection contacts.
Procedures for Practicing Cue Recognition and Description

- View DWI violation videos
- Take notes
- Testify
  - Choose words carefully
  - Provide as much detail as possible
  - Construct accurate image of observations
- Critique testimony
Leaving the Shopping Center
E. Typical Reinforcing Cues of the Stopping Sequence

After the command to stop is given, the alcohol-impaired driver may exhibit additional important evidence of DWI.

Some of these cues are exhibited because the stop command places additional demands on the driver's ability to divide attention.

The signal to stop creates a new situation to which the driver must devote some attention, i.e., emergency flashing lights, siren, etc., that demand and divert the subject's attention.

Signal to stop requires the driver to turn the steering wheel, operate the brake pedal, activate the signal light, etc.

As soon as an officer gives the stop command, the subject's driving task becomes more complex.

If subject is under the influence, the subject may not be able to handle this more complex driving very well.
Phase One: Task Two Observation of the Stop

Requires the ability to:

• Recognize evidence of alcohol and/or other drug influence
• Describe that evidence clearly and convincingly

F. Recognition and Description of Initial and Reinforcing Cues

Procedures for practicing cue recognition and description.
The Sliding Sports Car
QUESTIONS?
Test Your Knowledge

1. The Phase One tasks are ______________________________________________________
   ____________________________________________________________________________
   ____________________________________________________________________________

2. Two common symptoms of impairment are:
   A. ______________________________________
   B. ______________________________________
   ____________________________________________________________________________
   ____________________________________________________________________________
   ____________________________________________________________________________
   ____________________________________________________________________________
   ____________________________________________________________________________
Test Your Knowledge

3. Alcohol impairs the ability to ____ among tasks.

4. Three clues reinforcing the suspicion of DWI which may be observed during the stopping sequence are:
   A. __________________________
   B. __________________________
   C. __________________________
The Visual Detection of DWI Motorists

Please Drive Safely

Strictly Enforced
The Visual Detection of DWI Motorists
INTRODUCTION

More than a million people have died in traffic crashes in the United States since 1966, the year of the National Traffic and Motor Vehicle Safety Act, which led to the creation of the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA).

During the late 1960's and early 1970's more than 50,000 people lost their lives each year on our nation's streets, roads and highways. Traffic safety has improved considerably since that time: the annual death toll has declined substantially, even though the numbers of drivers, vehicles, and miles driven all have increased. When miles traveled are considered, the likelihood of being killed in traffic during the 1960's was three to four times what it is today.

The proportion of all crashes in which alcohol is involved also has declined. The declines in crash risk and the numbers of alcohol-involved crashes are attributable to several factors, including the effectiveness of public information and education programs, traffic safety legislation, a general aging of the population, and law enforcement efforts.

NHTSA research contributed to the improved condition, in part, by providing law enforcement officers with useful and scientifically valid information concerning the behaviors that are most predictive of impairment. Continued enforcement of Driving While Intoxicated (DWI) laws will be a key to saving lives in the future. For this reason, NHTSA sponsored research leading to the development of a new DWI detection guide and training materials, including a new training video. Many things have changed since 1979, but like the original training materials, the new detection guide describes a set of behaviors that can be used by officers to detect motorists who are likely to be driving while impaired.
Building upon the previous NHTSA study, researchers interviewed officers from across the United States and developed a list of more than 100 driving cues that have been found to predict blood alcohol concentrations (BAC) of 0.08 percent or greater. The list was reduced to 24 cues during 3 field studies involving hundreds of officers and more than 12,000 enforcement stops. The driving behaviors identified by the officers are presented in the following four categories:

1) Problems in maintaining proper lane position
2) Speed and braking problems
3) Vigilance problems
4) Judgment problems

The cues presented in these categories predict that a driver is DWI at least 35 percent of the time. For example, if you observe a driver to be weaving or weaving across lane lines, the probability of DWI is more than .50 or 50 percent. However, if you observe either of the weaving cues and any other cue listed in this booklet, the probability of DWI jumps to at least .65 or 65 percent. Observing any two cues other than weaving indicates a probability of DWI of at least 50 percent. Some cues, such as swerving, accelerating for no reason, and driving on other than the designated roadway, have single-cue probabilities greater than 70 percent. Generally, the probability of DWI increases substantially when a driver exhibits more than one of the cues.

This booklet contains:

• The DWI Detection Guide
• A summary of the research that led to the guide
• Explanations of the 24 driving cues
• A description of post-stop cues that are predictive of DWI

The research suggests that these training materials will be helpful to officers in:

• Detecting impaired motorists
• Articulating observed behaviors on arrest reports
• Supporting officers’ expert testimony
DWI DETECTION GUIDE
Weaving plus any other cue: $p = \text{at least .65}$
Any two cues: $p = \text{at least .50}$

Problems Maintaining Proper Lane Position $p = .50 - .75$
• Weaving
• Weaving across lane lines
• Straddling a lane line
• Swerving
• Turning with a wide radius
• Drifting
• Almost striking a vehicle or other object

Speed and Braking Problems $p = .45 - .70$
• Stopping problems (too far, too short, or too jerky)
• Accelerating or decelerating for no apparent reason
• Varying speed
• Slow speed (10+ mph under limit)

Vigilance Problems $p = .55 - .65$
• Driving in opposing lanes or wrong way on one-way
• Slow response to traffic signals
• Slow or failure to respond to officer’s signals
• Stopping in lane for no apparent reason
• Driving without headlights at night
• Failure to signal or signal inconsistent with action

Judgment Problems $p = .35 - .90$
• Following too closely
• Improper or unsafe lane change
• Illegal or improper turn (too fast, jerky, sharp, etc.)
• Driving on other than the designated roadway
• Stopping inappropriately in response to officer
• Inappropriate or unusual behavior (throwing, arguing, etc.)
• Appearing to be impaired

Post Stop Cues $p \geq .85$
• Difficulty with motor vehicle controls
• Difficulty exiting the vehicle
• Fumbling with driver’s license or registration
• Repeating questions or comments
• Swaying, unsteady, or balance problems
• Leaning on the vehicle or other object
• Slurred speech
• Slow to respond to officer or officer must repeat
• Providing incorrect information, changes answers
• Odor of alcoholic beverage from the driver

$p \geq .50$ when combined with any other cue:
• Driving without headlights at night
• Failure to signal or signal inconsistent with action

The probability of detecting DWI by random traffic enforcement stops at night has been found to be about 3 percent (3%).
PROBLEMS IN MAINTAINING PROPER LANE POSITION

Maintaining proper lane position can be a difficult task for an impaired driver. For example, we have all, at one time, seen vehicles weaving. Weaving is when the vehicle alternately moves toward one side of the lane and then the other. The pattern of lateral movement can be fairly regular, as one steering correction is closely followed by another. In extreme cases, the vehicle’s wheels even cross the lane lines before a correction is made. You might even observe a vehicle straddling a center or lane line. That is, the vehicle is moving straight ahead with either the right or left tires on the wrong side of the lane line or markers.

Drifting is when a vehicle is moving in a generally straight line, but at a slight angle to the lane. The driver might correct his or her course as the vehicle approaches a lane line or other boundary or fail to correct until after a boundary has been crossed. In extreme cases, the driver fails to correct in time to avoid a collision.
Drifting

Course corrections can be gradual or abrupt. For example, you might observe a vehicle to **swerve**, making an abrupt turn away from a generally straight course, when a driver realizes that he or she has drifted out of proper lane position or to avoid a previously unnoticed hazard.

Swerving
A related DWI cue is **almost striking a vehicle or other object**. You might observe a vehicle, either at slow speeds or moving with traffic, to pass unusually close to a sign, barrier, building, or other object. This cue also includes almost striking another vehicle, either moving or parked, and causing another vehicle to maneuver to avoid a collision.

**Turning with a wide radius or drifting during a curve** is the final cue in this category of driver behaviors. A vehicle appears to drift to the outside of the lane or into another lane through the curve or while turning a corner. Watch for this cue, and stop the driver when you see it. Many alcohol-involved crashes are caused by an expanding turn radius or drifting out of lane position during a curve.
SPEED AND BRAKING PROBLEMS

The research showed that braking properly can be a difficult task for an impaired driver. For example, there is a good chance the driver is DWI if you observe any type of stopping problem. Stopping problems include:

- Stopping too far from a curb or at an inappropriate angle
- Stopping too short or beyond a limit line
- Jerky or abrupt stops

Stopping Beyond a Limit Line

Impaired drivers also can experience difficulty maintaining an appropriate speed. There is a good chance the driver is DWI if you observe a vehicle to:

- Accelerate or decelerate rapidly for no apparent reason
- Vary its speed, alternating between speeding up and slowing down
- Be driven at a speed that is 10 miles per hour (mph) or more under the limit
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VIGILANCE PROBLEMS

Vigilance concerns a person’s ability to pay attention to a task or notice changes in surroundings. A driver whose vigilance has been impaired by alcohol might forget to turn on his or her headlights when required. Similarly, impaired drivers often forget to signal a turn or lane change, or their signal is inconsistent with their maneuver, for example, signaling left but turning right.

Signaling Inconsistent With Driving Actions

Alcohol-impaired vigilance also results in motorists driving into opposing or crossing traffic and turning in front of oncoming vehicles with insufficient headway.

Driving Into Opposing or Crossing Traffic
Driving is a complex task that requires accurate information about surrounding traffic conditions. Failing to yield the right of way and driving the wrong way on a one-way street are dangerous examples of vigilance problems.

A driver whose vigilance has been impaired by alcohol also might respond more slowly than normal to a change in a traffic signal. For example, the vehicle might remain stopped for an unusually long period of time after the signal has turned green. Similarly, an impaired driver might be unusually slow to respond to an officer’s lights, siren, or hand signals.

The most extreme DWI cue in the category of vigilance problems is to find a vehicle stopped in a lane for no apparent reason. Sometimes when you observe this behavior the driver will be just lost or confused, but more than half of the time the driver will be DWI—maybe even asleep at the wheel.

JUDGMENT PROBLEMS

Operating a motor vehicle requires continuous decision making by the driver. Unfortunately, judgment abilities can be affected by even small amounts of alcohol. For example, alcohol-impaired judgment can cause a driver to follow another vehicle too closely, providing an unsafe stopping distance.

Alcohol-impaired judgment also can result in a driver taking risks or endangering others. If you observe a vehicle to make improper or unsafe lane changes, either frequently or abruptly or with apparent disregard for other vehicles, there is a good chance the driver’s judgment has been impaired by alcohol.

Similarly, impaired judgment can cause a driver to turn improperly. For example, misjudgments about speed and the roadway can cause a driver
to take a turn too fast or to make sudden corrections during the maneuver. These corrections can appear to the observer as jerky or sharp vehicle movements during the turn.

Alcohol-impaired judgment can affect the full range of driver behaviors. For example, the research found that impaired drivers are less inhibited about making illegal turns than unimpaired drivers.

**Turning Illegally**

Driving on other than the designated roadway is another cue exhibited by alcohol-impaired drivers. Examples include driving at the edge of the roadway, on the shoulder, off the roadway entirely, and straight through turn-only lanes.

In some cases, impaired drivers stop inappropriately in response to an officer, either abruptly as if they had been startled or in an illegal or dangerous manner.

In fact, the research has shown that there is a good chance a driver is DWI if you observe the person exhibit *any inappropriate or unusual behavior*. Unusual behavior includes throwing something from the vehicle, drinking in the vehicle, urinating at the roadside, arguing with another motorist, or otherwise being disorderly. If you observe inappropriate or unusual behavior, there is a good probability that the driver is DWI.
The final cue is actually one or more of a set of indicators related to the personal behavior or appearance of a driver. These indicators include, gripping the steering wheel tightly, driving with one’s face close to the windshield, slouching in the seat, and staring straight ahead with eyes fixed. Some officers routinely scrutinize the faces of drivers in oncoming traffic, looking for the indicators of impairment. If you observe a driver who appears to be impaired, the research showed that there is an excellent probability that you are correct in your judgment.
SUMMARY

To summarize, the DWI cues related to problems in maintaining proper lane position include:

• Weaving
• Weaving across lane lines
• Straddling a lane line
• Drifting
• Swerving
• Almost striking a vehicle or other object
• Turning with a wide radius or drifting during a curve

The DWI cues related to speed and braking problems include:

• Stopping problems (too far, too short, too jerky)
• Accelerating for no reason
• Varying speed
• Slow speed

The DWI cues related to vigilance problems include:

• Driving without headlights at night
• Failure to signal a turn or lane change or signaling inconsistently with actions
• Driving in opposing lanes or the wrong way on a one-way street
• Slow response to traffic signals
• Slow or failure to respond to officer’s signals
• Stopping in the lane for no apparent reason

The DWI cues related to judgment problems include:

• Following too closely
• Improper or unsafe lane change
• Illegal or improper turn (too fast, jerky, sharp, etc.)
• Driving on other than the designated roadway
• Stopping inappropriately in response to an officer
• Inappropriate or unusual behavior
• Appearing to be impaired
POST-STOP CUES

In addition to the driving cues, the following post-stop cues have been found to be excellent predictors of DWI.

• Difficulty with motor vehicle controls
• Difficulty exiting the vehicle
• Fumbling with driver’s license or registration
• Repeating questions or comments
• Swaying, unsteady, or balance problems
• Leaning on the vehicle or other object
• Slurred speech
• Slow to respond to officer or officer must repeat questions
• Providing incorrect information or changes answers
• Odor of alcoholic beverage from the driver
Motorcycle DWI Detection Guide

NHTSA has found that the following cues predicted impaired motorcycle operation.

**Excellent Cues (50% or greater probability)**
- Drifting during turn or curve
- Trouble with dismount
- Trouble with balance at a stop
- Turning problems (e.g., unsteady, sudden corrections, late braking, improper lean angle)
- Inattentive to surroundings
- Inappropriate or unusual behavior (e.g., carrying or dropping object, urinating at roadside, disorderly conduct, etc.)
- Weaving

**Good Cues (30 to 50% probability)**
- Erratic movements while going straight
- Operating without lights at night
- Recklessness
- Following too closely
- Running stop light or sign
- Evasion
- Wrong way
The Detection of DWI Motorcyclists

Introduction

The National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) estimated that in 2011, about 29 percent of motorcycle operators involved in fatal crashes had a blood alcohol concentration (BAC) of .08 grams per deciliter (g/dL) or higher.

Clearly, enforcing impaired driving laws is a key to reducing the number of alcohol-related motorcyclist fatalities. But which cues should be used to detect impaired motorcyclists?

NHTSA sponsored research to develop a set of behavioral cues to be used by law enforcement personnel to detect motorcyclists who are operating their vehicles while intoxicated. The researchers began by interviewing experienced patrol officers from across the country to determine what behavioral cues have been used to detect impaired motorcyclists. A few, primarily motorcycle officers, suggested cues that reflected considerable understanding of the mental and physical requirements of riding a motorcycle. Others believed the cues to be identical to those used to detect impaired drivers. But some officers, even those with many years of experience, reported they believe there are no cues that can be used to distinguish DWI from unimpaired motorcycle operation.

In addition to interviewing law enforcement personnel, the research team developed a database of 1,000 motorcycle DWI arrest reports. The research team focused on officers’ narratives and motorcyclists’ behaviors that motivated the stops, and correlated those behaviors with BAC. Analysis of the interviews and arrest report data resulted in an inventory of about 100 cues that have been observed by officers in relation to impaired operation of motorcycles.

The researchers, working closely with law enforcement personnel, conducted two major field studies involving more than 50 sites throughout the United
States. Officers recorded information about every enforcement stop they made of a motorcyclist. Those field studies permitted the researchers to identify the most effective cues and to calculate the probabilities those cues were predictive of DWI. This brochure highlights the results of that research.

Fourteen cues were identified that best discriminate between DWI and unimpaired motorcycle operation. These cues have been labeled as “Excellent Cues” and “Good Cues,” based on the study’s results. The excellent cues predicted impaired motorcycle operation at least 50 percent of the time. The good cues predicted impaired motorcycle operation 30 to 49 percent of the time. The special coordination and balance requirements of riding a two-wheeled vehicle provided most of the behaviors in the “Excellent” category of cues.
Important Information

Law enforcement officers across the United States have used the cues described in this brochure to help detect impaired motorcycle operators. The cues can be used at any hour of the day and night, and they apply to all two-wheeled motor vehicles.

The cues described and illustrated in this brochure (and on a training video) are the behaviors that are most likely to discriminate between impaired and normal operation of a motorcycle. Cases that involve speeding, however, require additional clarification. Motorcyclists stopped for excessive speed are likely to be driving while intoxicated only about 10 percent of the time (i.e., 10 times out of 100 stops for speeding). But because motorcyclists tend to travel in excess of posted speed limits, speeding is associated with a large portion of all motorcycle DWI arrests. In other words, while only a small proportion of speeding motorcyclists are likely to be considered DWI, the large number of motorcyclists who are speeding results in a large number of DWIs, despite the relatively small probability.

This research will be helpful to officers in:

- Detecting impaired motorcyclists
- Articulating observed behaviors on arrest reports
- Supporting officer’s expert testimony
Drifting During Turn or Curve

Earlier studies have shown that the most common cause of single-vehicle, fatal motorcycle crashes is the failure to negotiate curves, with the motorcycle continuing in a straight line until it strikes a stationary object. This type of crash is usually caused by alcohol-impaired balance and coordination. In less extreme cases, the motorcycle’s turn radius expands during the maneuver. The motorcycle appears to drift outside of the lane or into another lane, through the curve, or while turning a corner. If you see a motorcycle drifting during a turn or curve, do the rider a favor and pull him or her over – our study showed there is a better than average possibility that the motorcyclist is a DWI offender.

Trouble With Dismount

Parking and dismounting a motorcycle can be a useful field sobriety test. The motorcyclist must turn off the engine and locate and deploy the kickstand. The operator must then balance his or her weight on one foot while swinging the other foot over the seat to dismount. But first, the
operator must decide upon a safe place to stop the bike. Problems with any step in this sequence can be evidence of alcohol impairment.

Not every motorcyclist you observe experiencing some difficulty with a dismount is riding under the influence, but study results indicated that more than 50 percent of them were DWI offenders. In other words, having a problem dismounting is a reliable cue to DWI.

**Trouble With Balance at Stop**

One typical practice for motorcycle riders at a stop is for the motorcyclist to place one foot on the ground to keep the bike upright, while leaving the other foot
covering the brake pedal. Some riders favor placing both feet on the ground for stability. Riders whose balance has been impaired by alcohol often have difficulty with these tasks. They might be observed as having shifted their weight from side-to-side, that is, from one foot to another, to maintain balance at a stop. From a block away, an officer might notice a single taillight moving from side to side in a gentle rocking motion. If you observe a motorcyclist having trouble with balance at a stop, there is a better than average chance that the operator is a DWI offender.

**Turning Problems**

The research also identified four turning problems that indicate rider impairment:

- **Unsteady During Turn or Curve.** The gyroscopic effects of a motorcycle’s wheels tend to keep a motorcycle “on track” as long as speed is maintained. As a motorcycle’s speed decreases, the demands placed on the operator’s balancing capabilities increases. As a result, an officer might observe a motorcycle’s front wheels or handlebars wobbling as an impaired rider attempts to maintain balance at slow speeds or during a turn.
- **Late Braking During Turn.** The next turning problem is “late braking during a turn or on a curve.” A motorcyclist normally brakes prior to entering a turn or curve, so the motorcycle can accelerate through the maneuver for maximum control. An impaired motorcyclist might misjudge the speed or distance to the corner or curve, requiring an application of the brakes during the maneuver.

- **Improper Lean Angle During Turn.** A third turning problem occurs when a motorcyclist normally negotiates a turn or curve by leaning into the turn. When a rider’s balance or speed decision-making is impaired, the rider frequently attempts to sit upright through the maneuver. As a result, a trained observer can detect an “improper lean angle.”
- **Erratic Movements During Turn.** The fourth turning problem is "erratic movements." These are defined as an inconsistent action or a sudden correction of a motorcycle maneuver during a turn or curve that can also indicate impaired driving. If you observe a motorcyclist who is unsteady during a turn or curve, brakes late, assumes an improper lean angle, or makes erratic movements during a turn or curve, there is a better-than-average chance that the motorcyclist is driving while impaired.

---

**Inattentive to Surroundings**

Vigilance concerns people’s ability to pay attention to a task or notice changes in their surroundings. A motorcyclist whose vigilance has been impaired by
alcohol consumption might fail to notice that the traffic light has changed from red to green.

A vigilance problem also is evident when motorcyclists are inattentive to their surroundings or are seemingly unconcerned with detection by law enforcement. For example, there is cause for suspicion of DWI when a motorcyclist fails to periodically scan the area around the bike when in traffic, a wise defensive riding measure to guard against potential encroachment by other vehicles. There is further evidence of impairment if a motorcyclist fails to respond to an officer’s emergency lights or hand signals.

If you observe a motorcyclist to be inattentive to the surroundings, there is a better than average chance that the motorcyclist is a DWI violator.

**Inappropriate or Unusual Behavior**

There is a category of cues referred to as “inappropriate or unusual behavior.” This category of cues includes behaviors such as operating a motorcycle while holding an object in one hand or under an arm, carrying an open container of alcohol, dropping something from a moving motorcycle, urinating at the roadside, arguing with another motorist, or otherwise being disorderly. If you observe inappropriate or unusual behavior by a motorcyclist, there is a better than average chance that the motorcyclist is a DWI offender.

**Weaving**

You are probably familiar with weaving as a predictor of DWI. If you see an automobile weaving there is a better than average chance the driver has exceeded the legal alcohol limits, but if you observe a motorcycle to be weaving, the probability of DWI is
even greater – weaving is an excellent cue. Weaving involves excessive movement within a lane or across lane lines, but does not include movements necessary to avoid road hazards.

**Erratic Movements While Going Straight**

If you observe a motorcyclist making erratic movements or sudden corrections while attempting to ride in a straight line, study results indicated there is
a good probability that the rider is a DWI violator. In fact, during the study erratic movements while going straight were observed 30 to 49 percent of the time in relation to impaired driving.

**Operating without Lights at Night**

Operating a motorcycle without lights at night is dangerous and can be another indicator of operator impairment. Study results showed that if you detect a motorcyclist riding at night without lights, there is a good chance that the operator is a DWI offender.

**Recklessness**

Motorcyclists tend to ride faster than automobiles so speeding is not necessarily a good predictor of DWI for motorcyclists. On the other hand, recklessness or riding too fast for the conditions was found to be a good indicator of operator impairment.
Following Too Closely

Following too closely, which is an unsafe following distance, is another indication of impaired operator judgment. During the study, this cue was found to be a good predictor of DWI by motorcycle riders.
**Running Stop Light or Sign**

Failure to stop at a red light or stop sign can indicate either impaired vigilance capabilities (i.e., did not see the stop light or sign), or impaired judgment (i.e., decided not to stop). Whatever the form of impairment, if you observe a motorcyclist running a stop light or sign, there is a good chance that he or she is a DWI offender.

**Evasion**

Evasion, or fleeing an officer, is a recurring problem. If a motorcyclist attempts to evade an officer’s enforcement stop, study results indicate there is a good chance he is a DWI violator as well.
Wrong Way

Obviously, riding into opposing traffic is dangerous. Study results showed that when you find a motorcycle going the wrong way in traffic, there is a good chance that the operator is under the influence. This includes going the wrong way on a one-way street, and crossing a center divider line to ride into opposing traffic.
# Motorcycle DWI Detection Guide

NHTSA has found that the following cues predicted impaired motorcycle operation.

## Excellent Cues (50% or greater probability)
- Drifting during turn or curve
- Trouble with dismount
- Trouble with balance at a stop
- Turning problems (e.g., unsteady, sudden corrections, late braking, improper lean angle)
- Inattentive to surroundings
- Inappropriate or unusual behavior (e.g., carrying or dropping object, urinating at roadside, disorderly conduct, etc.)
- Weaving

## Good Cues (30 to 50% probability)
- Erratic movements while going straight
- Operating without lights at night
- Recklessness
- Following too closely
- Running stop light or sign
- Evasion
- Wrong way

This brochure and related training materials are based on NHTSA Technical Report DOT HS 807 839, *The Detection of DWI Motorcyclists*, which is available upon request from NHTSA’s Safety Countermeasures Division (NTI-121), 1200 New Jersey Avenue SE, Washington, DC 20590.
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Phase Two: Personal Contact
Upon successfully completing this session, the participant will be able to:
• Identify typical clues of Detection Phase Two
• Describe the observed clues clearly and convincingly

CONTENT SEGMENTS
A. Overview: Tasks and Decision
B. Typical Investigation Clues of the Driver Interview
C. Recognition and Description of Investigation Clues
D. Interview/Questioning Techniques
E. Recognition and Description of Clues Associated with the Exit Sequence

LEARNING ACTIVITIES
Instructor-Led Presentations
Video Presentation
Instructor-Led Demonstrations
Participant Presentations
A. Overview: Tasks and Decisions

DWI Detection Phase Two: Personal Contact, like Phases One and Three, comprise two major evidence-gathering tasks and one major decision. Your first task is to approach, observe, and interview the driver while they are still in the vehicle to note any face-to-face evidence of impairment. During this face-to-face contact you may administer some simple pre-exit sobriety tests to gain additional information to evaluate whether or not the driver is impaired. After this evaluation, you must decide whether to request the driver to exit the vehicle for further field sobriety testing. In some jurisdictions, departmental policy may dictate all drivers stopped on suspicion of DWI be instructed to exit. It is important to note by instructing the driver to exit the vehicle, you are not committed to an arrest; this is simply another step in the DWI detection process. Once you have requested the driver to exit the vehicle, your second task is to observe the manner in which the driver exits and to note any additional evidence of impairment.

You may initiate Phase Two without Phase One. This may occur, for example, at a checkpoint or when you have responded to the scene of a crash.

Task One
The first task of Phase Two, interview and observation of the driver, begins as soon as the driver vehicle and the patrol vehicle have come to complete stops. It continues through your approach to the driver vehicle and involves all conversation between you and the driver prior to the driver's exit from the vehicle.
You may have developed a strong suspicion the driver is impaired prior to the face-to-face observation and interview. You may have developed this suspicion by observing something unusual while the vehicle was in motion or during the stopping sequence. You may have developed no suspicion of DWI prior to the face-to-face contact. The vehicle operation and the stop may have been normal; you may have seen no actions suggesting DWI.

For example, you may have stopped the vehicle for an equipment/registration violation or where no unusual driving was evident. In some cases, Phase One will have been absent. For example, you may first encounter the driver and vehicle after a crash or when responding to a request for motorist assistance.

Regardless of the evidence that may have come to light during Detection Phase One, your initial face-to-face contact with the driver usually provides the first definite indications the driver is impaired.

**Decision**

Based upon your face-to-face interview and observation of the driver, and upon your previous observations of the vehicle in motion and the stopping sequence, you must decide whether there is sufficient reason to instruct the driver to step from the vehicle. For some law enforcement officers, this decision is automatic since their agency’s policy dictates the driver always be told to exit the vehicle, regardless of the cause for the stop. Other agencies, however, treat this as a discretionary decision to be based on what the officer sees, hears, and smells during observation and interview with the driver while the driver is seated in the vehicle. If you decide to instruct the driver to exit, closely observe the driver's actions during the exit from the vehicle and note any evidence of impairment.
B. Typical Investigation Clues of the Driver Interview

Face-to-face observation and interview of the driver allows you to use three senses to gather evidence of alcohol and/or other drug influence:

- The sense of sight
- The sense of hearing
- The sense of smell

**Sight**
There are a number of things you might see during the interview that would be describable clues or evidence of alcohol and/or other drug influence. Among them are:

_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
What Do You See?

• Bloodshot eyes
• Soiled clothing
• Fumbling fingers
• Alcohol containers
• Drug and drug paraphernalia
• Bruises, bumps, scratches
• Unusual actions

What do you see?

• Bloodshot eyes
• Soiled clothing
• Fumbling fingers
• Alcohol containers
• Drugs or drug paraphernalia
• Bruises, bumps or scratches
• Unusual actions
**Hearing**

Among the things you might **hear** during the interview that would be describable clues or evidence of alcohol and/or other drug influence are these:

_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
What do you hear?
• Slurred speech
• Admission of drinking
• Inconsistent responses
• Unusual statements
• Abusive language
• Anything else

_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
Smell
There are things you might smell during the interview that would be describable clues or evidence of alcohol and/or other drug influence. Typically these include:

_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
What do you smell?
• Alcoholic beverages
• Marijuana
• “Cover-up” odors
• Other unusual odors
Proper face-to-face observation and interview of the driver demands two distinct but related abilities:

• The ability to recognize the sensory evidence of alcohol and/or other drug influence
• The ability to describe that evidence clearly and convincingly

Developing these abilities requires practice.

C. Recognition and Description of Investigation Clues

A basic purpose of the face-to-face observation and interview of the driver is to identify and gather evidence of alcohol and/or other drug influence. This is the purpose of each task in each phase of DWI detection.

During the face-to-face observation and interview stage, it is not necessary to gather sufficient evidence to arrest the driver immediately for DWI.
Procedures for Practicing Clue Recognition and Description

You will have to base your description of the driver's possible impairment strictly on what you see and hear during the face-to-face contact.

Both senses provide some critically important evidence, not only in this video segment but in all face-to-face contacts.
Testimony on Video Segment “The Busy Businessman”

What was seen?

What was heard?
D. Interview/Questioning Techniques

There are a number of techniques you can use to assess impairment while the driver is still behind the wheel. Most of these techniques apply the concept of divided attention. They require the driver to concentrate on two or more things at the same time. They include both questioning techniques and psychophysical (mind/body) tasks.

These techniques are not as reliable as the Standardized Field Sobriety Tests but they can still be useful for obtaining evidence of impairment. **THESE TECHNIQUES DO NOT REPLACE THE SFSTs.**

**Questioning Techniques**

The questions you ask and the way in which you ask them can constitute simple divided attention tasks. Three techniques are particularly pertinent:

- Asking for two things simultaneously
- Asking interrupting or distracting questions
- Asking unusual questions

An example of the first technique, **asking for two things simultaneously**, is requesting the driver to produce both the driver’s license and the vehicle registration. Possible evidence of impairment may be observed as the driver responds to this dual request.
Possible evidence of impairment that might be observed during the production of the license and registration. Be alert for the driver who:

• Forgets to produce both documents
• Produces documents other than the ones requested
• Fails to see the license, registration, or both while searching for them
• Fumbles or drops wallet, purse, license, or registration
• Is unable to retrieve documents using fingertips
The second technique would be to ask questions that require the driver to divide attention between searching for the license or registration and answering a new question. While the driver is responding to the request for license, registration, or both, you ask unrelated questions; “What day is it?” or “Where are you coming from?”

Possible evidence of impairment may be disclosed by the actions of the driver after this question has been posed. Be alert for the driver who:
• Ignores the question and concentrates only on the license or registration search
• Forgets to resume the search after answering the question
• Supplies a grossly incorrect answer to the question
The third technique, asking unusual questions, is employed after you have obtained the driver's license and registration. Using this technique, you seek verifying information through unusual questions. For example, while holding the driver's license, you might ask the driver, "What is your middle name?" "Where are you going?" "Where are you coming from?" etc.

There are many such questions which the driver normally would be able to answer easily, but which might prove difficult if the driver is impaired simply because they are unusual questions. Unusual questions require the driver to process information; this can be especially difficult when the driver does not expect to have to process information. For example, a driver may respond to the question about the middle name by giving a first name. In this case the driver ignored the unusual question and responded instead to a usual -- but unasked -- question.
Officers should be alert for potential medical conditions that may mimic drug or alcohol impairment. Some questions may include:

- Do you have any physical disabilities
- Are you sick or injured
- Are you under the care of a doctor or dentist
- Are you diabetic or epileptic?
  - If diabetic, ask if they take insulin
- Are you on any medications
Additional Techniques

Alphabet
This technique requires the driver to recite a part of the alphabet. You instruct the driver to recite the alphabet beginning with a letter other than A and stopping at a letter other than Z. For example, you might say to a driver, "Recite the alphabet, beginning with the letter E as in Edward and stopping with the letter P as in Paul." This divides the driver's attention because the driver must concentrate to begin at an unusual starting point and recall where to stop.
Count Down
This technique requires the driver to count out loud 15 or more numbers in reverse sequence. For example, you might request a driver to, "Count out loud backwards, starting with the number 68 and ending with the number 53." This, too, divides attention because the driver must continuously concentrate to count backwards while trying to recall where to stop.

This technique should never be given using starting and stopping points ending in 0 or 5 because these numbers are too easy to recall. For example, do not request the driver count backwards from 65 to 50. Instead, ask the driver to count backwards from 68 to 53.
**Finger Count**

In this technique, the driver is asked to touch the tip of the thumb to the tip of each finger on the same hand while simultaneously counting up one, two, three, four; then to reverse direction on the fingers while simultaneously counting down four, three, two, one.

In each instance, note whether and how well the driver is able to perform the divided attention task.
E. Recognition and Description of Clues Associated With the Exit Sequence

Your decision to instruct the driver to step from the vehicle usually is made after you have developed a suspicion the driver is impaired. Even if that suspicion may be very strong, the driver is usually not under arrest when you give the instruction.

How the driver steps and walks from the vehicle and actions or behavior during the exit sequence may provide important evidence of impairment. Be alert to the driver who:

• Shows angry or unusual reactions
• Cannot follow instructions
• Cannot open the door
• Leaves the vehicle in gear
• “Climbs” out of car
• Leans against car
• Keeps hands on car

Proper face-to-face observation and interview of a driver requires the ability to recognize the sensory evidence of alcohol and/or other drug influence and the ability to describe that evidence clearly and convincingly. Developing these abilities takes practice.
Remember, you may instruct a driver to exit the vehicle as a means of ensuring your own safety. Safety considerations take precedence over all other considerations.
Test Your Knowledge

1. The two major evidence gathering tasks of Phase Two are ____________________

_________________________________________________________________________________

2. The major decision of Phase Two is ____________________

_________________________________________________________________________________

3. Among the describable clues an officer might see during the Phase Two interview are:
   A. _____________________________________________________________________________
   B. _____________________________________________________________________________
   C. _____________________________________________________________________________

_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
4. Among the describable clues an officer might hear during the interview are:
   A. _________________________________________________________________
   B. _________________________________________________________________
   C. _________________________________________________________________

5. Among the describable clues an officer might smell during the interview are:
   A. _________________________________________________________________
   B. _________________________________________________________________
   C. _________________________________________________________________
   D. _________________________________________________________________
   E. _________________________________________________________________
   F. _________________________________________________________________
   G. _________________________________________________________________
   H. _________________________________________________________________
   I. _________________________________________________________________
6. There are three techniques an officer might use in asking questions that constitute simple divided attention tasks. These techniques are:

_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________

7. The Count Down Technique requires the subject to ____________________________

_________________________________________________________________________________

8. Leaning against the vehicle is a clue to DWI which may be observed during

__________________
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Phase Three:
Pre-Arrest Screening
Upon completing this session, the participant will be able to:
• Describe the role of psychophysical and preliminary breath tests
• Define and describe the concepts of divided attention and nystagmus
• Discuss the advantages and limitations of preliminary breath testing
• Discuss the arrest decision process

CONTENT SEGMENTS
A. Overview: Tasks and Decision
B. Gaze Nystagmus - Definition
C. Horizontal Gaze Nystagmus – Definition, Concepts, Demonstration
D. Vertical Gaze Nystagmus – Definition, Concepts, Demonstration
E. Divided Attention Tests: Concepts, Examples, Demonstration
F. Advantages and Limitations of Preliminary Breath Testing
G. The Arrest Decision

LEARNING ACTIVITIES
Instructor-Led Presentation
Instructor-Led Demonstrations
Video Presentation
A. Overview: Tasks and Decision

Like Phases One and Two, DWI Detection Phase Three: Pre-arrest Screening has two major evidence gathering tasks and one major decision.

Phase Three: Pre-Arrest Screening
Your first task in Phase Three is to administer three scientifically validated Standardized Field Sobriety Tests (SFSTs). Depending on State laws and/or agency policies, the next task would be to administer (or arrange for) a Preliminary Breath Test (PBT) to confirm the chemical basis of the subject's impairment. Based on these tests and on all other evidence from Phase One and Two, the officer should decide whether there is sufficient probable cause to arrest the subject for DWI. The entire detection process culminates in the arrest/no arrest decision.
Psychophysical tests are methods of assessing a subject's mental and physical impairment. These tests focus on the abilities needed for safe driving: balance, coordination, information processing, and so on.

Indicators of psychophysical impairment may be observed as soon as you come into face-to-face contact with the subject and begin the interview. Additional indicators of impairment can be observed as the subject exits the vehicle to begin the field sobriety tests. The SFSTs are the most scientifically reliable indicators of psychophysical impairment.
The Arrest Decision

The DWI detection process concludes with the arrest decision. This decision is based on all of the evidence you have obtained during all three detection phases: on observation of the vehicle in motion and during the stopping sequence; on face-to-face observation of the subject and the subject’s exit from the vehicle; and, pre-arrest screening.
B. Gaze Nystagmus – Definition

"Nystagmus" means an involuntary jerking of the eyes.

Alcohol and certain other drugs cause Horizontal Gaze Nystagmus (HGN).
C. Horizontal Gaze Nystagmus – Definition, Concepts, Demonstration

HGN is the most reliable field sobriety test. Especially when used in combination with the divided attention tests, it will help law enforcement officers correctly identify subjects who are impaired. Involuntary jerking of the eyes becomes readily noticeable when a person is impaired by alcohol and certain drug categories. As a person’s blood alcohol concentration (BAC) increases, the eyes will begin to jerk sooner as they move to the side.

HGN refers to an involuntary jerking occurring as the eyes gaze toward the side. In addition to being involuntary, the person experiencing the nystagmus is usually unaware the jerking is happening. In administering the HGN test, the officer has the subject follow the motion of a small stimulus with the eyes only. The stimulus may be the tip of a pen or penlight, or an eraser on a pencil, whichever contrasts with the background.

In addition to alcohol, drugs such as Central Nervous System (CNS) Depressants, Inhalants, and Dissociative Anesthetics cause HGN.
When the HGN test is administered, always begin with subject's left eye
   o Each eye is examined for three specific clues

As the eye moves from side to side, does it move smoothly or does it jerk noticeably?
   o As people become impaired by alcohol, their eyes exhibit a Lack of Smooth Pursuit as they move from side to side

When the eye moves as far to the side as possible and is kept at that position for four seconds, does it jerk distinctly?
   o Distinct and Sustained Nystagmus at Maximum Deviation is another clue of impairment

As the eye moves toward the side, does it start to jerk prior to a 45 degree angle?
   o Onset of Nystagmus Prior to 45 Degrees is another clue of impairment

As a person's BAC increases, it is more likely these clues will appear

The maximum total number of clues is six
   o The maximum number of clues that may appear in one eye is three

Based upon research using SFST-experienced personnel, HGN is 88% accurate at detecting subjects at or above 0.08 BAC.
To test for HGN, the subject is instructed to stand with feet together, hands at sides, hold the head still, and follow the motion of a stimulus with the eyes only.

The object may be the tip of a pen or penlight or the eraser on a pencil, which contrasts with the background.

Each eye is checked, beginning with the subject's left. A subject's height might restrict ability to clearly see nystagmus. Subject may be placed in sitting position to accommodate a better view.

Two or more "passes" are made before each eye to look for each of the clues of nystagmus.
D. Vertical Gaze Nystagmus – Definition, Concepts, Demonstration

Vertical Gaze Nystagmus (VGN) is an involuntary jerking of the eyes occurring as the eyes are held at maximum elevation.

For VGN to be recorded, it must be distinct and sustained for a minimum of four seconds at maximum elevation.
E. Divided Attention Tests: Concepts, Examples, Demonstration

Many of the most reliable and useful psychophysical tests employ the concept of divided attention: they require the subject to concentrate on more than one thing at a time (mental tasks and physical tasks). Driving is a complex divided attention task. In order to operate a vehicle safely, subjects must simultaneously control steering, acceleration and braking, react appropriately to a constantly changing environment, and perform many other tasks.

Alcohol and many other drugs reduce a person's ability to divide attention. Impaired subjects often ignore the less critical tasks of driving in order to focus their impaired attention on the more critical tasks. For example, a subject may ignore a traffic signal and focus instead on speed control. Even when impaired, many people can handle a single, focused attention task fairly well. For example, a subject may be able to keep the vehicle well within the proper traffic lane as long as the road remains fairly straight. However, most people, when impaired, cannot satisfactorily divide their attention to handle multiple tasks at the same time.

The concept of divided attention has been applied to psychophysical testing. Field sobriety tests that simulate the divided attention characteristics of driving have been developed and are being used by law enforcement agencies nationwide. The best of these tests exercise the same mental and physical capabilities a person needs to drive safely. A good, structured field sobriety test is simple and divides the subject's attention. Examples of divided attention tests include Walk and Turn (WAT) and One Leg Stand (OLS).
Typical simultaneous capabilities required for driving:
• Information processing
• Short-term memory
• Judgment and decision making
• Balance
• Steady, sure reactions
• Clear vision
• Small muscle control
• Coordination of limbs

Any test that requires a person to demonstrate two or more of these capabilities simultaneously is potentially a good psychophysical test.
Simplicity is the key to divided attention field sobriety testing. It is not enough to select a test that just divides the subject's attention. The test also must be one that is reasonably simple for the average person to complete as instructed when sober. Tests that are difficult for a sober subject to perform have little or no evidentiary value.
Two divided attention field sobriety tests that have proven accurate and effective in DWI detection are the WAT and the OLS.
Walk and Turn

WAT is a test that has been validated through extensive research sponsored by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA).

Based upon research using SFST-experienced personnel, WAT is 79% accurate at detecting subjects at or above 0.08 BAC.

WAT is a divided attention test consisting of two stages:
• Instruction stage
• Walking stage
WAT – Instruction Stage

- Divides subject’s attention
  - Balancing
  - Information processing
- Subject stands with feet in heel-to-toe position
- Arms at side
- Listen to instructions

The Instruction Stage divides the subject’s attention between a balancing task (standing while maintaining the heel-to-toe position) and an information processing task (listening to and remembering instructions).

In the Instruction Stage, the subject must stand with their feet in a heel-to-toe position, keep their arms at their sides, and listen to the instructions.
In the Walking Stage, the subject takes nine heel-to-toe steps, turns in a prescribed manner, takes nine heel-to-toe steps back, counts the steps out loud, and watches their feet. During the turn, the subject keeps their front foot on the line, turns in a prescribed manner, and uses the other foot to take several small steps to complete the turn. The Walking Stage divides the subject's attention among a balancing task (walking heel-to-toe and turning), a small muscle control task (counting out loud), and a short term memory task (recalling the number of steps and the turning instructions). The walking stage divides the subject's attention between a task of listening, comprehending, and carrying out the instruction.
The WAT test is administered and interpreted in a standardized manner, i.e., the same way every time. Officers administering the WAT test observe the subject's performance for eight clues:

1. Cannot keep balance while listening to the instructions
2. Starts too soon
3. Stops while walking
4. Does not touch heel-to-toe
5. Steps off the line
6. Uses arms to balance
7. Improper turn
8. Incorrect number of steps

Inability to complete the WAT test may occur when the subject is in danger of falling or otherwise cannot complete the test.
One Leg Stand

The OLS has also been validated through NHTSA-sponsored research.

Based upon research using SFST-experienced personnel, OLS is 83% accurate at detecting subjects at or above 0.08 BAC.

It is a divided attention test consisting of two stages:
- Instruction stage
- Balance and counting stage
In the **Instruction Stage**, the subject must stand with their feet together, keep their arms at their sides, and listen to instructions.
In the **Balance and Counting Stage**, the subject must raise one foot, either foot, with the raised foot approximately six inches off the ground, with both legs straight and the raised foot parallel to the ground. Have the subject, while looking at the elevated foot, count out loud in the following manner: "one thousand one", "one thousand two", “one thousand three” until told to stop. This divides the subject's attention between balancing (standing on one foot) and information processing (counting out loud).

The timing for a thirty-second period by the officer is an important part of the OLS test. The *original* research conducted by SCRI in 1977 showed many impaired subjects are able to stand on one leg for up to 25 seconds, but few can do so for 30 seconds.
OLS is also administered and interpreted in a standardized manner. Officers carefully observe the subject's performance and look for four specific clues:

1. Sways while balancing
2. Uses arms to balance
3. Hopping
4. Puts foot down

Inability to complete the OLS test occurs when the subject is in danger of falling or otherwise cannot complete the test.
F. Advantages and Limitations of Preliminary Breath Testing

Preliminary breath testing (PBT), like psychophysical testing, is a stage in the pre-arrest screening of a DWI subject. Usually the subject is not yet under arrest when requested to submit to the PBT.

The basic purpose of PBT is to demonstrate the association of alcohol with the observable evidence of the subject's impairment. The subject's impairment is established through sensory evidence: what the officer sees, hears, and smells.

The PBT provides the evidence that alcohol is the chemical basis of impairment by yielding an on-the-spot indication of the subject's BAC. The PBT provides direct indication of the BAC level. It does not indicate the level of the subject's impairment. Impairment varies widely among individuals with the same BAC level.
The DWI incident remains at the investigative stage; the accusatory stage has not yet begun. The PBT result is only one of many factors the officer considers in determining whether the subject should be arrested for DWI. Whenever possible, it should not be the sole basis for a DWI arrest. The PBT result is an important factor because it provides direct indication of alcohol impairment. All other evidence, from initial observation of the vehicle in operation through psychophysical testing, indicates alcohol impairment.
PBT Advantages
A PBT offers several important advantages for DWI detection. It may:

• Corroborate other evidence by demonstrating the suspicion of alcohol impairment is consistent with the officer's observations of the subject's mental and physical impairment

• Confirm the officer's own judgment and help gain confidence in evaluating alcohol impairment accurately based on observations and psychophysical tests
  o Many officers experienced in DWI enforcement find they rely less and less on the PBT as their confidence in their own powers of detection increases

• Disclose the possibility of medical complications or impairment due to drugs other than alcohol
  o The PBT can confirm or deny alcohol is the cause of the observed impairment
  o For example, observed psychophysical impairment coupled with a PBT result showing a very low BAC indicates an immediate need to investigate the possibility the subject has ingested a drug other than alcohol or suffers from a medical problem

• Help to establish probable cause for a DWI arrest
  o The role of the PBT in establishing probable cause may be affected by the evidentiary value of PBT results in your State
  o Consult your specific PBT law, your supervisor, or the local prosecutor for clarification, if necessary
PBT Limitations

PBT may have both evidentiary limitations and accuracy limitations. Evidentiary limitations vary with specific laws. In some States, PBT results are admissible as evidence; in other States they are not admissible. Where the results are admissible, there may be differences in the weight or value they are given. Consult your State PBT law, your supervisor, or your local prosecutor, as necessary, for clarification.

Although all PBT instruments currently used by law enforcement are reasonably accurate, they are subject to the possibility of some error, especially if they are not used properly. There are factors that can affect the accuracy of PBT devices. Some of these factors tend to produce "high" test results; others tend to produce "low" results.
There are two common factors that tend to produce high results on a PBT.

Residual Mouth Alcohol – After a person takes a drink, some of the alcohol will remain in the mouth. If the person exhales soon after drinking, the breath sample will pick up some of this leftover mouth alcohol. In this case, the breath sample will contain an additional amount of alcohol and the test result will be higher than the true BAC.

It takes approximately 15 minutes for the residual alcohol to be eliminated from the mouth.

The only sure way to eliminate this factor is to make sure the subject does not consume any alcohol for at least 15 to 20 minutes before conducting a breath test. Remember, too, most mouthwashes, breath sprays, cough syrups, etc., contain alcohol and may produce residual mouth alcohol. Therefore, do not permit the subject to put anything in their mouth for at least 15 to 20 minutes prior to testing.

Breath Contaminants – Some types of PBTs might react to certain substances other than alcohol. For example, substances such as ether, chloroform, acetone, acetaldehyde, and cigarette smoke may produce a positive reaction on certain devices. If so, the test would be contaminated and its result would be higher than the true BAC. Normal characteristics of breath samples, such as halitosis (bad breath), food odors, etc., do not affect accuracy.
There are two common factors that tend to produce low PBT results.

Breath Sample Cooling – If the captured breath sample is allowed to cool before it is analyzed, some of the alcohol vapor in the breath may turn to liquid and precipitate out of the sample. If that happens, the subsequent analysis of the breath sample will produce a low BAC result.

Breath Sample Composition – Breath composition means the mixture of the tidal breath and alveolar breath. Tidal breath is breath from the upper part of the lungs and the mouth. Alveolar breath is deep lung breath. Breath testing should be conducted on a sample of alveolar breath, obtained by having the subject blow into the PBT instrument until all air is expelled from the lungs.
Radio frequency interference (RFI) can produce either high or low test results, or can prevent a breath test device from producing any result. Care should be exercised when utilizing a PBT around radio equipment.
G. The Arrest Decision

Your arrest/no arrest decision is the culmination of the DWI detection process. That decision is based on all of the evidence that has come to light since your attention was first drawn to the vehicle or individual.

PHASE ONE:
- Initial observation of vehicle in motion
- Observation of the stop

PHASE TWO:
- Face-to-face observation and interview
- Observation of the exit

PHASE THREE:
- SFSTs
- PBTs

Your decision involves a careful review of each of the observations you have made. Conduct a "mental summary" of the evidence collected during vehicle in motion, personal contact, and pre-arrest screening. If all of the evidence, taken together, establishes probable cause to believe a DWI offense has been committed, you should arrest the subject.
QUESTIONS?
Test Your Knowledge

1. The two major evidence gathering tasks of Phase Three are __________________ and __________
__________________________________________________________________________________

2. The major decision in Phase Three is ____________________________
__________________________________________________________________________________

3. The entire DWI detection process culminates in ____________________________
__________________________________________________________________________________

4. Divided attention tests require the subject to ____________________________
__________________________________________________________________________________
__________________________________________________________________________________
__________________________________________________________________________________
__________________________________________________________________________________
__________________________________________________________________________________
__________________________________________________________________________________
5. Among the mental and physical capabilities a person needs to drive safely are these four:

A. ____________________________________________________________________________

B. ____________________________________________________________________________

C. ____________________________________________________________________________

D. ____________________________________________________________________________

6. The two stages of the WAT are:

A. ____________________________________________________________________________

B. ____________________________________________________________________________
7. The two stages of the OLS are:
   A.  
   B.  

8. The purpose of PBT is______________________________
   ___________________________________________________________________________________
   ___________________________________________________________________________________

9. Two factors that produce high results on a PBT are:
   A.  
   B.  
   ___________________________________________________________________________________
   ___________________________________________________________________________________
   ___________________________________________________________________________________
   ___________________________________________________________________________________
   ___________________________________________________________________________________
   ___________________________________________________________________________________
   ___________________________________________________________________________________
10. Two factors that produce low results on a PBT are:

A. ____________________________________________________________________________

B. ____________________________________________________________________________

_________________________________________________________________________________

_________________________________________________________________________________

_________________________________________________________________________________

_________________________________________________________________________________

_________________________________________________________________________________

_________________________________________________________________________________

_________________________________________________________________________________

_________________________________________________________________________________

_________________________________________________________________________________

_________________________________________________________________________________
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Concepts and Principles of the Standardized Field Sobriety Tests (SFSTs)
Upon successfully completing this session, the participant will be able to:
• Discuss the development and validity of the research and the standardized elements, clues, and interpretation of the three Standardized Field Sobriety Tests (SFSTs)
• Discuss different types of nystagmus and their effects on HGN test
Learning Objectives

• Discuss and properly administer the three SFSTs
• Discuss and properly recognize clues of the three SFSTs
• Describe in a clear and convincing manner and properly record the results of the SFSTs on a standard note-taking guide
• Identify limitations of the three SFSTs

CONTENT SEGMENTS
A. Overview: Development and Validation
B. SFST Field Validation Studies
C. Horizontal Gaze Nystagmus
D. Vertical Gaze Nystagmus
E. Walk and Turn
F. One Leg Stand
G. Taking Field Notes on the Standardized Field Sobriety Tests

LEARNING ACTIVITIES
Instructor-Led Demonstration
Participant Practice Session
Demonstration
A. Overview: Development and Validation

For many years, law enforcement officers have utilized field sobriety tests to determine a driver’s impairment due to alcohol influence. The performance of the driver on those field sobriety tests was used by the officer to develop probable cause for arrest and as evidence in court. A wide variety of field sobriety tests existed and there was a need to develop valid SFSTs.

- NHTSA research began in 1975 in California
- Three final reports published
  - California: 1977 (lab study only)
  - California: 1981 (lab/field study)
  - Maryland, Washington, DC, Virginia, North Carolina: 1983 (field study only)
The original research objectives were to:

• Evaluate currently-used physical coordination tests to determine their relationship to intoxication and driving impairment

• Develop more sensitive tests that would provide more reliable evidence of impairment

• Standardize the tests and observations

Beginning in late 1975, extensive scientific research studies were sponsored by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (NHTSA) through a contract with the Southern California Research Institute (SCRI) to determine which roadside field sobriety tests were the most accurate. SCRI published the following three reports:

• California: 1977 (Lab)
• California: 1981 (Lab and Field)
• Maryland, District of Columbia, Virginia, North Carolina: 1983 (Field)
SCRI traveled to law enforcement agencies throughout the United States to select the most commonly used field sobriety tests. Six tests were used in the initial stages of this study.
1. One Leg Stand (OLS)
2. Finger-to-Nose (FTN)
3. Finger Count
4. Walk and Turn (WAT)
5. Tracing (a paper and pencil exercise)
6. Nystagmus (called alcohol gaze nystagmus in final report)

Laboratory research indicated three of these tests, when administered in a standardized manner, were highly accurate and reliable tests for distinguishing blood alcohol concentrations (BACs) at or above 0.10; HGN, WAT, and OLS.

The research showed these three tests were the most accurate and the remaining tests were merely reassessing the same skills.

While many field sobriety tests are valid tests, the SFSTs have been validated through numerous research studies.
NHTSA analyzed the original SCRI research laboratory test data and found:
• HGN, by itself, was 77% accurate
• WAT, by itself, was 68% accurate
• OLS, by itself, was 65% accurate
B. SFST Field Validation Studies

The final phase of this study was conducted as a field validation.
- Standardized, practical, and effective procedures were developed
- Determine the feasibility of the procedures for these tests in actual enforcement conditions
- The tests were determined to discriminate in the field as well as in laboratory
The three standardized tests were found to be highly reliable in identifying subjects whose BACs were at or above 0.10. The results of the study unmistakably validated the SFSTs.

The “Standardized” elements included:
• Standardized Administrative Procedures
• Standardized Clues
• Standardized Criteria

_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
The large scale field validation study was the first significant assessment of the workability of the standardized tests under actual enforcement conditions. It was also the first time completely objective clues and scoring criteria had been defined for tests. The results of this study validated the SFSTs.
Three SFST validation studies were undertaken between 1995 and 1998:
• Colorado - 1995
• Florida - 1997
• San Diego - 1998

In order to understand the results of the research studies discussed in this course, it is important to define what is meant by a correct arrest decision. A correct arrest decision is made when an officer, after completing the third phase of the detection process, decides to arrest a subject and that subject tested above the illegal per se limit for BAC or the officer decides to release a subject who is below the illegal per se limit for BAC.
For purposes of this study, a correct decision was when the person was above the per se limit and was arrested, or the person was below the per se limit and was released.

The remaining subjects, incorrect arrest decisions, fall into two other categories. Members of the first group were not arrested but tested above the per se limit for BAC. The Colorado Study noted a number (approximately 33%) of these individuals were considered alcohol tolerant and performed well on the SFSTs even though their BACs were above the per se limit. Although these release decisions were recorded as errors based on the procedures outlined in the study, this non-arrest decision ultimately benefited the driver.

For purposes of this study, the subjects who were arrested, but their BAC was below the per se limit, were also considered incorrect arrests. Many States stipulate in their statute a driver is considered DWI if they are either above the per se limit for BAC or have lost the normal use of their mental or physical faculties. Even though these arrests are legally justifiable according to an individual State’s statute, these decisions are recorded as errors in the research based on the procedures outlined in the study.

Each of these studies have shown the SFSTs are scientifically validated and are a reliable method for distinguishing between impaired and unimpaired drivers. It is important for the officer who is trained in SFST to prepare themselves to understand and explain these statistics in layman terms in order to effectively articulate them to a jury in a courtroom. Remember, if you do not know the answer to a defense question you can say, “I DON’T KNOW.”
“A Colorado Validation Study of Standardized Field Sobriety Test Battery”
- The Colorado SFST validation study was the first full field study that utilized law enforcement personnel experienced in the use of SFSTs

- The initial 1977 study utilized only a few experienced officers in DWI enforcement in both a laboratory setting and field setting
  - These officers received approximately four hours of training in field sobriety testing prior to the laboratory study

- In the Colorado study, correct arrest/release decisions at a 0.05 BAC were 86% accurate based on the three SFSTs (HGN, WAT, OLS) and 93% of arrested drivers had a BAC of 0.05 or higher
  - These results, by officers who were trained in the SFST curriculum, were substantially higher than the initial 1977 study results

- First full field validation study using SFST-experienced law enforcement
- 86% correct arrest/release decision based on three SFSTs
- 93% of those arrested had a BAC of 0.05 or higher
“Florida Validation Study of the Standardized Field Sobriety Test Battery”

• The Florida SFST field validation study was undertaken in order to answer the question of whether SFSTs are valid and reliable indices of the presence of alcohol when used under present-day traffic and law enforcement conditions.

• Correct decisions to arrest were made 95% of the time based on the three SFSTs (HGN, WAT, OLS).

This was the second SFST field validation study undertaken. This study was the first study conducted at the lower BAC limit of 0.08.
“Validation of the Standardized Field Sobriety Test Battery at BACs Below 0.10 %”

- The San Diego SFST validation field study was undertaken because of the nationwide trend towards lowering the BAC limits to 0.08
  - The question to be answered was “Do SFSTs discriminate at BACs below 0.10%?”

- The study examined the validity of SFSTs for both .08% and .04%

- Correct arrest decisions were made 91% of the time based on the three SFSTs (HGN, WAT, OLS) at the 0.08 level and above

This is the most current research used to describe the accuracy of the SFSTs.
• HGN was 88% accurate
• WAT was 79% accurate
• OLS was 83% accurate

The results of this study provide clear evidence of the validity of the three-tests to support arrest decisions at above or below 0.08. It strongly suggests the SFSTs also identify BACs at 0.04 and above.

### Results: Three SFST 1990’s Field Studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>% Correct</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Colorado</td>
<td>86% Arrest/Release Decisions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida</td>
<td>95% Arrest Decisions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>San Diego</td>
<td>91% Arrest Decisions</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is necessary to emphasize this validation applies only when:
• The tests are administered in the prescribed, standardized manner
• The standardized clues are used to assess the suspect’s performance
• The standardized criteria are employed to interpret that performance

If any one of the SFST elements is changed, the validity may be compromised.
C. Horizontal Gaze Nystagmus

Definition Review: Involuntary jerking of the eyes, occurring as the eyes gaze to the side. In addition to being involuntary:
• Person is usually unaware it is happening
• Person is powerless to stop it or control it

Key Summary Point: Alcohol and certain other drugs cause HGN.
Categories of Nystagmus

HGN is not the only kind of nystagmus. There are other circumstances under which the eyes will jerk involuntarily.

It is important to know some of the other common types of nystagmus and to be aware of their potential impact on field sobriety tests.

Nystagmus of several different origins may be seen. The three general categories of nystagmus are:

• Vestibular
• Neural
• Pathological Disorders and Diseases
Vestibular Nystagmus is caused by movement or action to the vestibular system.

Types of Vestibular Nystagmus:
- **Rotational** Nystagmus occurs when the person is spun around or rotated rapidly, causing the fluid in the inner ear to be disturbed. If it were possible to observe the eyes of a rotating person, they would be seen to jerk noticeably.
- **Post Rotational** Nystagmus is closely related to Rotational Nystagmus: when the person stops spinning, the fluid in the inner ear remains disturbed for a period of time and the eyes continue to jerk.

Neither Rotational nor Post Rotational Nystagmus will interfere with the HGN test because of the conditions under which they occur.

- **Caloric** Nystagmus occurs when fluid motion in the canals of the vestibular system is stimulated by temperature as by putting warm water in one ear and cold in the other.
- **Positional Alcohol** Nystagmus (PAN) occurs when a foreign fluid, such as alcohol, that alters the specific gravity of the blood is in unequal concentrations in the blood and the vestibular system. This causes the vestibular system to respond to gravity in certain head positions, resulting in nystagmus.

In the original HGN study, research was not conducted for performing HGN on people lying down. Current research demonstrates HGN can be performed on someone in this position.
Nystagmus can also result directly from neural activity:

- **Optokinetic** Nystagmus occurs when the eyes fixate on an object that suddenly moves out of sight, or when the eyes watch sharply contrasting moving images.

Examples of Optokinetic Nystagmus include watching strobe lights, rotating lights, or rapidly moving traffic in close proximity. The HGN test will not be influenced by Optokinetic Nystagmus when administered properly. During the HGN test, the suspect is required to fixate the eyes on a penlight, pencil, or similar object that moves in accordance with the HGN testing procedures, thus Optokinetic Nystagmus will not occur. The movement of the stimulus and the fixation on the stimulus by the subject precludes this form of nystagmus from being observed by the officer.

- **Physiological** Nystagmus is a natural nystagmus that keeps the sensory cells of the eye from tiring. It is the most common type of nystagmus. It happens to all of us, all the time. This type of nystagmus produces extremely minor tremors or jerks of the eyes. These tremors are usually too small to be seen with the naked eye. Physiological Nystagmus will have no impact on our SFSTs because it’s tremors are usually invisible.

- **Gaze** Nystagmus is a form of nystagmus that occurs when the eyes attempt to maintain visual fixation on a stimulus.
For our purposes, Gaze Nystagmus is separated into three types:

- Horizontal
- Vertical
- Resting
Horizontal Gaze Nystagmus is an involuntary jerking of the eyes, occurring as the eyes gaze to the side. It is the observation of the eyes for Horizontal Gaze Nystagmus that provides the first and most accurate test in the SFSTs. Although this type of nystagmus is indicative of alcohol impairment, its presence may also indicate use of certain other drugs.

Examples of other drug categories are: CNS Depressants, Inhalants, and Dissociative Anesthetics such as PCP and its analogs.
Vertical Gaze Nystagmus is an involuntary jerking of the eyes (up and down) which occurs when the eyes gaze upward at maximum elevation. The presence of this type of nystagmus is associated with high doses of alcohol for that individual. It may also be present with certain other drugs. The drugs that cause VGN are the same ones that cause HGN.

There is no known drug that will cause VGN without causing at least four clues of HGN. If VGN is present and HGN is not, it could be a medical condition.

For VGN to be recorded, it must be distinct and sustained for a minimum of four seconds at maximum elevation.
Resting Nystagmus is referred to as a jerking of the eyes as they look straight ahead. Its presence usually indicates a medical condition or high doses of a Dissociative Anesthetic drug such as PCP. If detected, take precautions. (OFFICER SAFETY.)
Nystagmus may also be caused by certain pathological disorders. They include brain tumors and other brain damage or some diseases of the inner ear. These pathological disorders occur in very few people and in even fewer drivers.
Medical Impairment

The examinations you conduct to assess possible medical impairment include:

- Equal Pupil Size
- Resting Nystagmus
- Equal Tracking

Pupil size will be affected by some medical conditions or injuries. If the two pupils are distinctly different in size, it is possible the subject:

- Has a prosthetic eye
- Is suffering from a head injury
- Has a neurological disorder

Resting Nystagmus is referred to as jerking as the eyes look straight ahead. This condition is not frequently seen. Its presence usually indicates a pathology or high doses of a drug such as a Dissociative Anesthetic like PCP.

Resting Nystagmus may also be a medical problem. Tracking ability will be affected by certain medical conditions or injuries involving the brain.

This observation is a medical assessment. If the two eyes do not track together, the possibility of a serious medical condition or injury is present.
By passing a stimulus across both eyes, you can check to see if both eyes are tracking equally. If they don't (i.e., if one eye tracks the stimulus, but the other fails to move or lags behind the stimulus) there is the possibility of a neurological disorder.

If a person has sight in both eyes, but the eyes fail to track together, there is a possibility the person is suffering from an injury or illness affecting the brain.
Procedures to Assess Possible Medical Impairment

Prior to administration of HGN, the eyes are checked for Equal Pupil Size, Resting Nystagmus, and Equal Tracking (can they follow an object together). If the eyes do not track together, or if the pupils are noticeably unequal in size, the chance of medical disorders or injuries causing the nystagmus may be present. If the eyes track together, continue with the test and document the results.

Officers are reminded to ask questions about the subject’s eye and general health conditions prior to administering the HGN test. If a subject responds or volunteers information that he or she is blind in one eye or has an artificial eye, and the subject has equal tracking, the officer should make note of the abnormality and proceed with the HGN test. If there are any abnormal findings on the pre-test checks, the officer may choose not to continue with the testing. If HGN testing is continued, officers are reminded this does not follow the standardized protocol and should acknowledge such in any report. If HGN testing is conducted on a person with a blind eye, typical inconsistent findings could be related to the blind eye not being able to see or track the stimulus, or when the normal eye can no longer see the stimulus, e.g., when checking Distinct and Sustained Nystagmus at Maximum Deviation on the blind eye side.
Procedures of Horizontal Gaze Nystagmus Testing: The Three Clues

The test you will use at roadside is HGN – an involuntary jerking of the eyes occurring as the eyes gaze to the side. When a person is impaired by alcohol or certain drugs, some jerking will be seen if the eyes are moved far enough to the side.

• Lack of Smooth Pursuit (Clue Number One) – The eyes can be observed to jerk or "bounce" as they follow a smoothly moving stimulus, such as a pencil or penlight
  ○ The eyes of an impaired person will not follow smoothly, i.e., windshield wipers moving across a dry windshield

• Distinct and Sustained Nystagmus At Maximum Deviation (Clue Number Two) – Distinct and sustained nystagmus is evident when the eye is held at maximum deviation for a minimum of four seconds and continues to jerk toward the side

• Onset of Nystagmus Prior To 45 Degrees (Clue Number Three) – The point at which the eye is first seen jerking. If the jerking begins prior to 45 degrees it is evident the person has a BAC above 0.08, as shown by recent research.

The higher the degree of impairment, the sooner the nystagmus will be observable.
HGN and VGN can be observed directly and does not require special equipment. You will need a contrasting stimulus for the subject to follow with their eyes. This can be a penlight or pen. The stimulus used should be held slightly above eye level so the eyes are wide open when they look directly at it. It should be held approximately 12 - 15 inches in front of the nose. Remain aware of your position in relation to the subject at all times.

**OFFICER SAFETY IS THE NUMBER ONE PRIORITY ON ANY TRAFFIC STOP.**

**Administrative Procedures**
1. Check for eyeglasses
2. Verbal instructions
3. Position stimulus (12-15 inches and slightly above eye level)
4. Check for Equal Pupil Size and Resting Nystagmus
5. Check for Equal Tracking

_________________________________________________________________________________

_________________________________________________________________________________

_________________________________________________________________________________

_________________________________________________________________________________

_________________________________________________________________________________

_________________________________________________________________________________
6. Lack of Smooth Pursuit
7. Distinct and Sustained Nystagmus at Maximum Deviation
8. Onset of Nystagmus Prior to 45 Degrees
9. Total the clues
10. Check for Vertical Nystagmus
Administrative Procedures for HGN

It is important to administer the HGN test systematically using the following steps to ensure nothing is overlooked.

There are 10 steps in the systematic administration of the HGN test.

Step 1: Check for Eyeglasses (Note if subject wears contacts especially colored contacts because some colored contacts may affect the ability to compare pupil size)
Begin by instructing the subject to remove eyeglasses, if worn.
It does not matter whether the subject can see the stimulus with perfect clarity. The subject just needs to see it and be able to follow it.

Step 2: Verbal Instructions
Give the subject the appropriate verbal instructions:
• Put feet together, hands at the side
• Keep head still
• Look at the stimulus
• Follow movement of the stimulus with the eyes only
• Keep looking at the stimulus until told the test is over

Step 3: Position the Stimulus
Position the stimulus approximately 12 - 15 inches (30 - 38 cm) in front of subject's nose and slightly above eye level to commence the test.
Resting Nystagmus may be observed at this time. Officers should note whether the subject displays Resting Nystagmus.
Step 4: Equal Pupil Size and Resting Nystagmus
Check for Equal Pupil Size and Resting Nystagmus.

Step 5: Equal Tracking
Check for Equal Tracking. Move the stimulus from center to far right, to far left, and back to center. The speed of the stimulus should be approximately the same speed used as checking for the Lack of Smooth Pursuit. This check may be done more than once.
Step 6: Lack of Smooth Pursuit
Check the left eye for lack of the "Smooth Pursuit" clue. If the eye is observed to jerk while moving, that is one clue.
Check the right eye for lack of the "Smooth Pursuit" clue and compare.

Step 7: Check the right and left eye for the “Distinct and Sustained Nystagmus at Maximum Deviation" clue. If the jerkiness is distinct and sustained, that is one clue.

Step 8: Onset of Nystagmus Prior to 45 Degrees
Check the left eye for the "Onset of Nystagmus Prior to 45 Degrees" clue. If the jerking begins prior to 45 degrees, that is one clue.
Check the right eye for "Onset of Nystagmus Prior to 45 Degrees" clue and compare.
Step 9: Total the clues
Maximum number of clues possible for each eye: 3
Total maximum number of clues possible for both eyes: 6

Step 10: Check for Vertical Gaze Nystagmus
It is possible all three clues definitely will be found in one eye, while only two (or sometimes only one) will show up in the other eye. It is always necessary to check both eyes and to check them independently. Notwithstanding, it is unlikely the eyes of someone under the influence of alcohol will behave totally different. Thus, if one eye shows all three clues distinctly while the other eye gives no evidence of nystagmus, the person may be suffering from one of the pathological disorders covered previously.
Test Interpretation

Look for three clues of nystagmus in each eye:

• Lack of Smooth Pursuit
• Distinct and Sustained Nystagmus at Maximum Deviation
• Onset of Nystagmus Prior to 45 Degrees

Based on recent research, if you observe four or more clues it is likely the subject's BAC is at or above 0.08. Using this criterion, you will be able to classify about 88% of your subjects accurately. This was determined during laboratory and field testing and helps you weigh the various SFSTs as you make your arrest decision.
Three Clues of Horizontal Gaze Nystagmus

When we administer the HGN test, we look for three specific clues as evidence of alcohol influence. We check each eye independently for each clue.

For standardization, begin with the subject's left eye. Check for the first clue. Next, check right eye for same clue. Repeat this procedure for each clue starting with left eye, then right eye. Compare and document the results.

When we are checking an eye, it is good practice to administer the test by the numbers each time, to make sure no step is overlooked.
**Clue No. 1: Lack of Smooth Pursuit**

The first clue requires the subject move the eye to follow the motion of a smoothly moving stimulus.

The stimulus may be the eraser on a pencil, the tip of a penlight, the tip of your finger, or any similar small object.

Begin by holding the stimulus vertically approximately 12 - 15 inches (30 - 38 cm) in front of the subject's nose and slightly above eye level.
Move the stimulus smoothly all the way out to the right (checking subject's left eye first) then move the stimulus smoothly all the way across the subject's face to the left (checking the subject's right eye), then back to center. Carefully watch the subject's left eye then right eye and determine if they are able to pursue smoothly.

Make at least two complete passes with the stimulus.

If a person is not impaired by alcohol (or drugs that cause HGN), the eyes should move smoothly as the object is moved back and forth.

Analogy: movement of the eyes of a person not impaired by alcohol (or drugs that cause HGN) will be similar to the movement of windshield wipers across a wet windshield versus an impaired person and windshield wipers moving across a dry windshield.
The Mechanics of Clue Number 1

It is necessary to move the object smoothly in order to check the eye’s ability to pursue smoothly. The stimulus should be moved from center position, all the way out to the right (checking subject’s left eye) where the eye can go no further, and then all the way back across subject’s face all the way out to the left where the eye can go no further (checking subject’s right eye) and then back to the center.

The object must be moved steadily, at a speed that takes approximately 2 seconds to bring the eye from center to side.

In checking for this clue, make at least two complete passes in front of the eyes.

If you are still not able to determine whether or not the eye is jerking as it moves, additional passes may be made in front of the eyes.
Live Demonstration of the Mechanics of Clue No. 1

_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
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_________________________________________________________________________________
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_________________________________________________________________________________
Participant Practice of the Mechanics of Clue No. 1
Clue No. 2: Distinct and Sustained Nystagmus at Maximum Deviation

Once you have completed the check for Lack of Smooth Pursuit, you will check the eyes for distinct and sustained nystagmus when the eye is held at maximum deviation, beginning with the subject's left eye.

The Mechanics of Clue Number 2

Once again, position the stimulus approximately 12 - 15 inches (30 - 38 cm) in front of subject's nose and slightly above eye level.

Move the stimulus off to the right (checking subject's left eye) until the eye has gone as far as possible.

Hold the stimulus steady at that position for a minimum of four (4) seconds and carefully watch the eye.

Then, move the stimulus back across the subject's face all the way out to the left (subject's right eye).
Four seconds will not cause fatigue nystagmus. This type of nystagmus may begin if a subject's eye is held at maximum deviation for more than 30 seconds.

Hold the stimulus steady and carefully watch the eye.

If the person is impaired, the eye is likely to exhibit definite, distinct and sustained jerking when held at maximum deviation for a minimum of 4 seconds.

In order to "count" this clue as evidence of impairment, the nystagmus must be distinct and sustained for a minimum of 4 seconds.

If you think you see only slight nystagmus at this stage of the test or if you have to convince yourself nystagmus is present, then it isn't really there.
Live Demonstration of the Mechanics of Clue No. 2
Participant practice of the mechanics of Clue No. 2

Participant Led Demonstrations
Clue No. 3: Onset of Nystagmus Prior to 45 Degrees

Once again, position the stimulus approximately 12 - 15 inches (30 - 38 cm) in front of subject's nose and slightly above eye level.

The angle of onset of nystagmus is simply the point at which the eye is first seen jerking.

Examples: With someone at a very high BAC (0.20+), the jerking might begin almost immediately after the eye starts to move toward the side. For someone at 0.08 BAC, the jerking might not start until the eye has moved nearly to the 45 degree angle.

Generally speaking, the higher the BAC, the sooner the jerking will start as the eye moves toward the side.

If the jerking begins prior to 45 degrees, that person’s BAC could be 0.08 or above.
It is not difficult to determine when the eye has reached the 45 degree point, but it does require some practice.

If you start with the stimulus approximately 12 - 15 inches (30 - 38 cm) directly in front of the nose, you will reach 45 degrees when you have moved the stimulus an equal distance to the side. Another important indicator that can be used to determine if the eye is within 45 degrees is at 45 degrees, some white usually will still be visible in the corner of the eye (for most people). (Although rare, there may be times when a person’s eye may be at a 45 degree angle and no white in the corner of the eye is visible.)
The Mechanics of Clue No. 3

The stimulus is positioned approximately 12 - 15 inches from (30 - 38 cm) subject’s nose and slightly above eye level. It is necessary to move the stimulus slowly to identify the point at which the eye begins to jerk.

Start moving the stimulus towards the right (left eye) at the speed that would take approximately 4 seconds for the stimulus to reach a 45 degree angle.

As you are slowly moving the stimulus, watch the eye carefully for any sign of jerking.

When you see the jerking begin, immediately stop moving the stimulus and hold it steady at that position.

With the stimulus held steady, look at the eye and verify the jerking is continuing.

If the jerking is not evident with the stimulus held steady, you have not located the point of onset. Therefore, resume moving the stimulus slowly toward the side until you notice the jerking again.

When you locate the point of onset of nystagmus, you must determine whether it is prior to 45 degrees.

Verify some white is still showing in the corner of the eye.
Live Demonstration of the Mechanics of Clue No. 3
Participant practice of the mechanics of Clue No. 3

Coaching and critiquing participants practice.

Participant led demonstration.
Training Aid: The 45 Degree Template

A training aid has been provided to help you practice estimating a 45 degree angle.
- The outline of a square, with its diagonal line, gives us a 45 degree angle
- This outline, or template, is provided for practice only
- It is not to be used with actual DWI subjects

To use the template, have your training partner hold the corner of the square under the nose.

When you line up your stimulus with the diagonal line, your partner will be looking along a 45 degree angle.
Coaching and Critiquing Participants’ Practice

Participant led Demonstration
**Test Interpretation**

Based upon the original developmental research into HGN, the criterion for this test is 4.

If a person exhibits at least 4 out of the possible 6 clues, the implication is a BAC above 0.08.

Using this criterion, the test is 88% accurate.
Test Demonstration
Administrative Procedures

1. Check for eyeglasses
2. Verbal instructions
3. Position stimulus (12-15 inches and slightly above eye level)
4. Check for Equal Pupil Size and Resting Nystagmus
5. Check for Equal Tracking
Administrative Procedures

6. Lack of Smooth Pursuit
7. Distinct and Sustained Nystagmus as Maximum Deviation
8. Onset of Nystagmus Prior to 45 Degrees
9. Total the clues
10. Check for Vertical Nystagmus
D. Vertical Gaze Nystagmus (VGN)

The VGN test is simple to administer. During the VGN test, look for jerking as the eyes move up and are held for a minimum of four seconds at maximum elevation.

- Position the stimulus horizontally, about 12 - 15 inches in front of the subject's nose
- Instruct the subject to hold the head still and follow the object with the eyes only
- Raise the object until the subject's eyes are elevated as far as possible
- Hold for a minimum of four seconds
- Watch closely for evidence of the eyes jerking upward

Participant led demonstration.

For VGN to be recorded, it must be distinct and sustained for a minimum of four seconds at maximum elevation.

VGN may be present in subjects under the influence of high doses of alcohol for that individual, and some other drugs.
E. Walk and Turn

Test Stages
Like all divided attention tests, WAT has two stages. They are:

• Instruction stage
• Walking stage

Both stages are important because they can affect the subject's overall performance on the test.
**Test Conditions**
Whenever possible, the WAT test should be conducted on a reasonably dry, hard, level, non-slippery surface. There should be sufficient room for subjects to complete nine heel-to-toe steps. Recent field validation studies have indicated varying environmental conditions have not affected a subject’s ability to perform this test.

Standardizing this test for every type of road condition is unrealistic. The original research study recommended this test be performed on a dry, hard, level, non-slippery surface and relatively safe conditions. If not, the research recommends:
1) subject be asked to perform the test elsewhere, or
2) only HGN be administered

The original SCRI studies suggested individuals over 65 years of age or people with back, leg, or inner ear problems had difficulty performing this test. Less than 1.5% of the test subjects in the original studies were over 65 years of age. Also, the SCRI studies suggest individuals wearing heels more than 2 inches high should be given the opportunity to remove their shoes. Officers should consider all factors when conducting SFSTs.
Procedures for Walk and Turn Testing

- Keep subject to your left when starting demonstration
- Be aware of surroundings
- Officer should not turn his/her back to the subject for safety reasons
Instruction Stage: Initial Positioning and Verbal Instructions

For standardization in the performance of this test, have the subject assume the heel-to-toe stance by giving the following verbal instructions, accompanied by demonstrations:

Place your left foot on the line (real or imaginary).

Place your right foot on the line ahead of the left foot, with the heel of your right foot against the toe of the left foot.

Place your arms down at your sides.

Maintain this position until I have completed the instructions. Do not start to walk until told to do so. Do you understand the instructions so far? (Make sure subject indicates understanding.)
Demonstrations and Instructions for the Walking Stage

Explain the test requirements by giving instructions, accompanied by demonstrations:
When I tell you to start, take nine heel-to-toe steps on the line, turn, and take nine heel-to-toe steps down the line.

When you turn, keep the front (lead) foot on the line, and turn by taking a series of small steps with the other foot, like this.

While you are walking, keep your arms at your sides, watch your feet at all times, and count your steps out loud.

Once you start walking, don't stop until you have completed the test.

Do you understand the instructions? (Make sure subject understands.)

Instruct the person to begin the test.
Test Interpretation

You may observe a number of different behaviors when a subject performs this test. Original research demonstrated the behaviors listed below are likely to be observed in someone with a BAC at or above 0.08. Look for the following clues each time this test is given:

• **Cannot keep balance while listening to the instructions.** Two tasks are required at the beginning of this test. The subject must balance heel-to-toe on the line, and at the same time, listen carefully to the instructions. Typically, the person who is impaired can do only one of these things. The subject may listen to the instructions, but not keep balance. Record this clue if the subject does not maintain the heel-to-toe position throughout the instructions. (Feet must actually break apart or step off the line.) Do not record this clue if the subject sways or uses the arms to balance but maintains the heel-to-toe position.

_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
• **Starts too soon.** The impaired person may also keep balance, but not listen to the instructions. Since you specifically instructed the subject not to start walking "until I tell you to begin," record this clue if the subject does not wait.

• **Stops while walking.** The subject stops while walking. Do **not** record this clue if the subject is merely walking slowly.

• **Does not touch heel-to-toe.** The subject leaves a space of one half inch or more between the heel and toe on any step.
• **Steps off the line.** The subject steps so that one foot is entirely off the line.

• **Uses arms for balance.** The subject raises one or both arms six or more inches from the sides in order to maintain balance.

• **Improper turn.** The subject removes the front foot from the line while turning. Also record this clue if the subject has not followed directions as instructed, i.e., spins or pivots around or loses balance while turning.

• **Incorrect number of steps.** Record this clue if the subject takes more or fewer than nine steps in either direction.
If subject can't do the test, record observed clues and document the reason for not completing the test, e.g., subject’s safety.

Remember the SFSTs are a tool to assist you in seeing visible signs of impairment and are not a pass/fail test.

Subject gets into a "leg lock" position (legs crossed, unable to move.)

If the subject has difficulty with the test (for example, steps off the line), continue from that point, not from the beginning. This test may lose its sensitivity if it is repeated several times.

Observe the subject from a safe distance and limit your movement which may distract the subject during the test. **Always consider officer safety.**
Based on recent research, if the subject exhibits two or more clues on this test or fails to complete it, classify the subject's BAC as at or above 0.08. Using this criterion, you will be able to accurately classify 79% of your subjects.

**Review of Divided Attention Definition**

WAT is a field sobriety test based on the important concept of divided attention.

The test requires the subject to divide attention among mental tasks and physical tasks.

The mental tasks include comprehension of verbal instructions, processing of information, and recall of memory.

The physical tasks include balance and coordination. The subject is required to maintain balance and coordination while standing still, walking, and turning.
Instruction Stage

Test Demonstrations
F. One Leg Stand

Test Stages
Like all divided attention tests, OLS has two stages. They are:
• Instruction stage
• Balance and counting stage

Both stages are important because they can affect the subject's overall performance on the test.
Test Conditions

OLS requires a reasonably dry, hard, level, and non slippery surface. Subject's safety should be considered at all times.

Standardizing this test for every type of road condition is unrealistic. The original research study recommended this test be performed on a dry, hard, level, non slippery surface and relatively safe conditions. If not, the research recommends:

1) subject be asked to perform the test elsewhere, or
2) only HGN be administered

However, recent field validation studies have indicated that varying environmental conditions have not affected a subject’s ability to perform this test.

The original SCRI studies suggested individuals over 65 years of age, people with back, leg or inner ear problems, or people who are overweight by 50 or more pounds may have difficulty performing this test. Less than 1.5% of the test subjects in the original studies were over 65 years of age. There was no data containing the weight of the test subjects included in the final report. Also, the SCRI studies suggest individuals wearing heels more than 2 inches high should be given the opportunity to remove their shoes.
Instruction Stage: Initial Positioning and Verbal Instructions

Initiate the test by giving the following instructions, accompanied by demonstrations.

Please stand with your feet together and your arms down at the sides, like this.

Do not start to perform the test until I tell you to do so.

Do you understand the instructions so far?

_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
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Demonstrations and Instructions for the Balance and Counting Stage

Explain the test requirements using the following verbal instructions accompanied by demonstrations:

When I tell you to start, raise either leg with the foot approximately six inches off the ground, keeping your foot parallel to the ground.

Keep both legs straight and your arms at your side.

While holding that position, count out loud in the following manner: “one thousand one, one thousand two, one thousand three,” and so on until told to stop.

Keep your arms at your sides at all times and keep watching the raised foot.

Do you understand?

Go ahead and perform the test. (Officer should always time the 30 seconds. Test should be discontinued after 30 seconds.)

Observe the subject from a safe distance.
Test Interpretation

You may observe a number of different behaviors when a subject performs this test. The original research found the behaviors listed below are the most likely to be observed in someone with a BAC at or above 0.08. When administering the OLS test, we look for certain specific behaviors. Each behavior or action is considered one clue. There is a maximum number of 4 clues on this test. Look for the following clues each time the OLS test is administered.

The subject sways while balancing – This refers to side to side or back and forth motion of the body, or a swaying motion of the foot, while the subject maintains the OLS position.

Slight tremors of the foot or body should not be interpreted as swaying.

Uses arms for balance – Subject moves arms 6 or more inches from the side of the body in order to keep balance.
Hopping – Subject is able to keep one foot off the ground, but resorts to hopping in order to maintain balance.

Puts foot down – The subject is not able to maintain the OLS position, putting the foot down one or more times during the 30 second count.

If the subject puts the foot down, give instructions to pick the foot up again and continue counting from the point at which the foot touched.

If subject can't do the test, record observed clues and document the reason for not completing the test, e.g. subject’s safety.

Remember time is critical in this test. The original SCRI research has shown a person with a BAC above 0.10 can maintain balance for up to 25 seconds, but seldom as long as 30.
Based on recent research, if an individual shows two or more clues or fails to complete the OLS, there is a good chance the BAC is at or above 0.08. Using that criterion, you will accurately classify 83% of the people you test as to whether their BAC’s are at or above 0.08.

Observe the subject from a safe distance and minimize movement during the test so as not to interfere. If the subject puts the foot down, give instructions to pick the foot up again and continue counting from the point at which the foot touched the ground. If the subject counts very slowly, terminate the test after 30 seconds.

Review of Divided Attention Definition
OLS is another field sobriety test that employs divided attention. The subject’s attention is divided among such simple tasks as balancing, listening, and counting out loud.

Although none of these is particularly difficult in itself, the combination can be very difficult for someone who is impaired.
G. Taking Field Notes on the Standardized Field Sobriety Tests

For purposes of the arrest report and courtroom testimony, it is not enough to report the number of clues on the three tests.

The numbers are important to the police officer in the field because they help determine whether there is probable cause to arrest.

But to secure a conviction, more descriptive evidence is needed.

The officer must be able to describe how the subject performed on the tests and what the subject did.

The standard note taking guide is designed to help develop a clear description of the subject's performance on the tests.
### Medical Assessment

- **Equal Tracking**
  - [ ] Yes
  - [ ] No

- **Equal Pupils**
  - [ ] Yes
  - [ ] No

- **Resting Nyst.**
  - [ ] Yes
  - [ ] No

Other

_________________________________________________________________________________

_________________________________________________________________________________

_________________________________________________________________________________

_________________________________________________________________________________

_________________________________________________________________________________

_________________________________________________________________________________

_________________________________________________________________________________

_________________________________________________________________________________

_________________________________________________________________________________
Complete the entire procedure for both eyes, checking "yes" or "no" for each clue. Check box (✓) if the clue is present.
For standardization, test the subject's left eye first.
Then, check for the same clue in the right eye.
If clue is not present, leave box blank.
After both eyes have been completely checked, total the number of HGN clues observed.

Complete the check for VGN.
If present, circle Y. If not present, circle N.
In the section labeled "other", record any facts, circumstances, conditions or observations that may be relevant to this procedure.

Examples of additional evidence of impairment emerging while checking for nystagmus:
• Subject unable to keep head still
• Subject swaying noticeably
• Subject utters incriminating statements

Examples of conditions that may interfere with subject's performance while checking for nystagmus:
Wind, dust, etc. (irritating subject's eyes).

NOTE: Try to face subject away from flashing or strobe lights that could cause visual or other distractions that could impede the test.

Visual or other distractions impeding the test.
The section on the WAT test appears at the top of the guide's back side. First two clues are checked only during the instruction stage. In the boxes provided, either record the number or enter a check (✓) or a number to indicate the number of times the clue appears during the instruction stage. Example: if subject loses balance twice during the instruction stage, place two check marks (✓) or a “2” in the box.

Example: If the subject does not start too soon, write “N/A” in that box.

Record the next four clues separately for each nine steps. If subject stops walking, record it by drawing a vertical line from the toe at the step at which the stop occurred and place a letter “S” at bottom of vertical line to indicate “stops walking”. Do this for each of the nine steps. How many times during first nine steps? How many times during second nine steps?

_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
_________________________________________________________________________________
If subject fails to touch heel-to-toe, record how many times this happens and place a letter “M” at bottom of vertical line to indicate missed heel-to-toe.

If subject steps off the line while walking, record it by drawing a line from the appropriate footprint at the angle in the direction in which the foot stepped. Do this for each nine steps.

If subject uses arms for balance, give some indication of how often or how long this happens. Example: subject raised arms from sides three times. Place three check marks (✓) or a “3” in the box.

Record the actual number of steps taken by subject, in each direction.

For the next clue, “Improper Turn," record a description of the turn.
- Example: turned incorrectly
- Example: stumbled, to left
- Example: wrong direction
- Example: no small steps
- If the turn is correct, note: N/A

If the subject is unable to safely complete the test, you may stop the test early. Document the reasons the test was stopped.

At end of the test, examine each factor and determine the total number of clues recorded.

In the section labeled "other", record any facts, circumstances, conditions, or observations that may be relevant to this test.

Examples of additional evidence of impairment emerging during WAT test.
Considerations for WAT Test

- Straight line
- Dry, hard, level, non-slippery surface
- Room for nine heel-to-toe steps

Examples of conditions that may interfere with subject's performance of the WAT test:
- Wind/Weather conditions
- Subject's age
- Subject's footwear
Record the subject's performance separately. For each clue, record how often it appears with a check mark (✓).

If subject sways, indicate how often with a (✓) check mark.

Indicate above the feet the number they were counting when they put their foot down.

Check marks (✓) or a number should be made to indicate the number of times the subject swayed, used arms, hopped or put foot down.

Place check marks (✓) in or near the small boxes to indicate how many times you observed each of the clues. In addition, if the subject puts the foot down during the test, record when it happened. To do this, write the count number at which the foot came down.

For example, suppose, when standing on the left leg, the subject lowered the right foot at a count of "one thousand thirteen," and again at "one thousand twenty."

If subject uses arms for balance, indicate how often arms were raised.

If subject is hopping, indicate how many hops were taken.

If subject puts foot down, indicate how many times the foot came down.

If the subject is unable to safely complete the test, you may stop the test early. Document the reason(s) the test was stopped.
In the section labeled “Type of Footwear”, record the type of footwear worn. In the section labeled "other", record any facts, circumstances, conditions, or observations that may be relevant to this test.

Examples of additional evidence of impairment emerging during OLS test:
• Subject verbally miscounts 30 seconds
• Subject utters incriminating statements

At end of the test, examine each factor and determine how many clues have been recorded. Remember, each clue may appear several times, but still only constitutes one clue.

Officers who are video recording the SFSTs may choose to document any observed clues by voicing them into the recording as the clues are observed.
Test Your Knowledge

1. WAT is an example of _______________________________ field sobriety test.

2. The WAT requires a real or imaginary line and ________________________________

3. During the _______ stage of the WAT, the suspect is required to count out loud.
4. Based upon the San Diego study, the WAT test can determine whether a subject's BAC is above or below 0.08, ______ % of the time.

5. In the WAT test, a subject who steps off the line during the first 9 steps and once again during the second 9 steps and who uses arms for balance twice during the second nine steps has produced ________ distinct clue(s).
6. The WAT test has ___________ possible clues.

7. During the ______ stage of the OLS test the subject must maintain balance while standing on one foot.

8. The OLS test requires the subject keep the foot elevated for ______ seconds.
9. Based upon the San Diego study, the OLS test can determine whether a subject's BAC is above or below 0.08, ______% of the time.

10. In the OLS test, a subject who sways has produced ______ clue(s).

11. In the OLS test, a subject who uses arms for balance, is hopping, and puts foot down has produced ______ clue(s).
12. The maximum number of clues for HGN that can appear in one eye is ________________.

13. Based upon the San Diego study, the HGN test can determine whether a subject's BAC is above 0.08, ________________% of the time.

14. The third clue of HGN is an Onset of Nystagmus Prior to ________________ Degrees.
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